

## 2023 Kansas Statutes

**19-27,184. Initiation of improvement; notice and hearing, when; benefit district; petition procedure for initiation; resolution determining advisability of improvement.** (a) Before any work is ordered or authorized for an improvement, the governing body, by resolution, shall direct and order a public hearing on the advisability of the improvement. Notice of the hearing shall be given by not less than two publications in a newspaper. The publications shall be a week apart and at least three days shall elapse between the last publication and the hearing. The notice shall include the:

- (1) Time and place of hearing;
- (2) general nature of the proposed improvements;
- (3) estimated or probable cost;
- (4) extent of the proposed benefit district to be assessed;
- (5) proposed method of assessment; and
- (6) proposed apportionment of cost, if any, between the benefit district, the county at large and other available sources.

The hearing may be adjourned from time to time and until the governing body shall have made findings by resolution as to the advisability of the improvement, the nature of the improvement, the estimated cost, the boundaries of the benefit district, the method of assessment and the apportionment of cost, if any, between the district, the county at large and other available sources, all as finally determined by the governing body.

The area of the benefit district to be assessed may be less than, but shall not exceed, the area proposed to be assessed as stated in the petition and notice of hearing without giving notice and holding a new hearing on the improvement.

After final adjournment of the public hearing, the governing body may proceed to order the improvement as provided in K.S.A. 19-27,186.

(b) Improvements also may be commenced upon submission of a sufficient petition. Such petition shall be filed with the county clerk and shall state the:

- (1) General nature of the proposed improvement;
- (2) estimated or probable cost;
- (3) extent of the proposed benefit district to be assessed;
- (4) proposed method of assessment; and
- (5) proposed apportionment of cost, if any, between the benefit district, the county at large and other available sources.

Names may not be withdrawn from the petitions by the signers thereof after the governing body commences consideration of the petitions or later than seven days after such filing, whichever occurs first. The petitions shall contain a notice that the names of the signers may not be withdrawn after such a period of time. Such petitions may be found sufficient if signed by either: (1) A majority of the owners of record of property liable for assessment under the proposal; (2) the resident owners of record of more than 1/2 of the area liable for assessment under the proposal; or (3) the owners of record, whether resident or not, of more than 1/2 of the area liable to be assessed under the proposal.

Upon the filing of such petitions, the governing body may make findings by resolution as to the advisability of the improvement, the nature of the improvement, the boundaries of the benefit district, the method of assessment and apportionment of cost, if any, between the benefit district and the county as a whole. Such resolution may order the improvement without notice and hearing and shall become effective upon publication once in the newspaper and no protest as provided in K.S.A. 19-27,186 shall be received. The area of the benefit district finally determined by the governing body shall not exceed the district proposed in the petition unless notice is given and hearing held as provided in subsection (a).

**History:** L. 1991, ch. 51, § 4; April 25.