

MEMORANDUM

Kansas Legislative Research Department

300 S.W. 10th Avenue
Room 68-W—Statehouse
Topeka, Kansas 66612-1586
Telephone (913) 296-3181 FAX (913) 296-3824

Updated November 9, 2010

KANSAS CORPORATE FARMING LAW

Background

The following summarizes former and current corporate farming statutes in Kansas.

The original law prohibiting certain types of corporate farming in Kansas was passed in 1931. It prohibited corporate farming for the purpose of growing wheat, corn, barley, oats, rye, or potatoes and the milking of cows. Following the enactment of the corporate farming law of 1931, several amendments were made, among which was an amendment to allow a domestic or foreign corporation, organized for coal mining purposes, to engage in agricultural production on any tract of land owned by the corporation which had been strip mined for coal.

In 1965, major amendments were made to the law. Grain sorghums were added to the list of crops that were restricted. In addition, the 1965 amendments made it possible for certain types of corporations, which met detailed specifications, to engage in agricultural production of those restricted crops and also the milking of cows. However, problems with the statute continued to exist. As a result, the Legislature had special interim committees study the problems with the Kansas Corporate Farming Law in 1972, 1975, and 1978. As a result of the 1972 interim study, the 1973 Kansas Legislature passed additional reporting requirements of corporations which held agricultural land in the state. The purpose of this legislation was to determine the extent of corporate ownership of agricultural land. Neither the 1975 nor the 1978 study resulted in legislation being adopted. Additionally, discussions of the problems with the corporate farming statute were held throughout this time period.

Among the problems discussed with the law between 1972 and 1981 were the following:

- The fact that the former corporate farming statute permitted corporations to be engaged in certain types of crop endeavors, while having no restrictions on crops such as alfalfa and soybeans. Also, the former statute was unclear as to whether pasture land was to be included in the acreage restrictions contained in the statute (5,000 acres).
- The fact that the former corporate farming statute lacked an enforcement provision, which was said to have made it difficult for the Attorney General or other officials to enforce.
- The fact that the 5,000-acre limitation imposed on corporations permitted to engage in certain agricultural activities was too restrictive, especially given the various types of farming enterprises in the state, and particularly if pasture land was to be included in the 5,000-acre limitation. This acreage limitation was of particular concern to farming interests in western Kansas, where acreages generally are much larger.

- The restriction of ten stockholders was too limiting; and the restriction of owning stock in more than one agricultural corporation is encountered often through marriage and inheritance.
- The fact that nonagricultural corporations often owned agricultural land as a buffer zone or for expansion purposes. Because the former statute placed restrictions on the characteristics of corporations permitted to be engaged in certain farming activities, some of them may have been in violation when they leased or rented the land back to farmers. This issue was addressed in the Attorney General's case against the DuPont Corporation in 1980 and 1981.
- The fact that some of the universities and colleges in the state acquired agricultural land and were somewhat dependent upon the land's revenue-raising capabilities.
- The fact that some legislators were concerned that large pension and benefit funds operating as trusts could acquire significant amounts of agricultural land for investment purposes.

As a result of these concerns and others expressed to the Senate Agriculture and Small Business Committee early in the 1981 Legislative Session, the Committee introduced SB 298. Extensive hearings as to the problems inherent in the current law were held before the decision was made to introduce a bill. Additional hearings were conducted after the bill had been introduced. This bill eventually became the basis for the state's current Corporate Farming Law, being signed by the Governor on April 28, 1981.

Since 1981, this law has undergone slight modifications. However, these modifications, for the most part, have not impacted significantly on the intent or policy of the legislation.

The law prohibits corporations, trusts, limited liability companies, limited partnerships, or corporate partnerships other than family farm corporations, authorized farm corporations, limited liability agricultural companies, limited agricultural partnerships, family trusts, authorized trusts, or testamentary trusts from either directly or indirectly owning, acquiring, or otherwise obtaining or leasing any agricultural land in Kansas.

Legislators in 1981 recognized certain circumstances or entities which may at one time or another have a legitimate need or situation which requires the acquisition of agricultural land. As a result, 13 exemptions from the restrictions outlined above were included in the original legislation. The restrictions on owning, acquiring, obtaining, or leasing do not apply to:

- a bona fide encumbrance taken for purposes of security;
- agricultural land when acquired as a gift, either by grant or devise, by a bona fide educational, religious, or charitable nonprofit corporation (this addresses the problems that some state colleges have when agricultural land is left to them by grant or devise, and is used as a source of revenue);
- agricultural land acquired by a corporation or a limited liability company as is necessary for the operation of a nonfarming business, provided the corporation does not engage or receive any financial benefit, other than rent, from the farming operation (this exemption was to solve problems with nonfarming businesses, such as DuPont, which need land for buffer zones, industrial expansion, or other similar needs);
- agricultural land acquired by a corporation or a limited liability company by process of law in the collection of debts or pursuant to a contract for deed executed prior to the effective date of the act, or by any procedure for the

enforcement of a lien or claim, if the corporation divests itself of any agricultural land within ten years (except that the provisions of K.S.A. 9-1102 are to apply when a bank acquires agricultural land);

- a municipal corporation;
- agricultural land which is acquired by a trust company or bank in a fiduciary capacity or as a trustee for a nonprofit corporation;
- agricultural land owned or leased by a corporation, corporate partnership, limited corporate partnership, or trust either: (a) prior to July 1, 1965; or (b) which was not in compliance with K.S.A. 17-5901 prior to its repeal, provided that under both (a) and (b) these entities do not own or lease any greater acreage of agricultural land than they owned or leased prior to this Act; or (c) which was not in compliance with K.S.A. 17-5901 prior to its repeal, but is in compliance by July 1, 1991 (this exemption is the "grandfather clause," which clarifies the status of corporations, corporate partnerships, limited corporate partnerships, or trusts previously engaged in agricultural activities in the state or which own or lease agricultural land prior to the enactment of the 1981 law);
- agricultural land held or leased by a corporation or a limited liability company for use as a feedlot, a poultry confinement facility, or rabbit confinement facility;
- agricultural land held or leased by a corporation for the purpose of the production of timber, forest products, nursery products, or sod;
- agricultural land used for educational research or scientific or experimental farming;
- agricultural land used for the growing of crops for seed purposes or alfalfa by an alfalfa processing plant within 30 miles of the plant site;
- agricultural land owned or leased by a corporate partnership or limited corporate partnership in which either natural persons, family farm corporations, authorized farm corporations, limited liability agricultural companies, family trusts, authorized trusts or testamentary trusts, are associated; and
- any corporation, either domestic or foreign, or limited liability company organized for coal-mining purposes, which engages in farming on any tract of land owned by it which has been strip mined for coal.

A fourteenth exception was enacted in 1986 (SB 308). The exception stated: agricultural land owned or leased by a limited partnership prior to the effective date of the act would be exempted from the general prohibition of acquiring agricultural land.

An amendment in 1987 made it clear that when a bank acquires ownership of real estate through the satisfaction of debt that the bank statute, K.S.A. 9-1102, is the statute that governs (HB 2076). This statute permits the ten-year ownership by banks, but also grants the State Bank Commissioner the authority to grant an extension for an additional four years, or any portion of four years.

The 1981 enactment made corporations, trusts, limited corporate partnerships, or corporate partnerships which violated the provisions of the bill subject to a civil penalty of not more than \$50,000 and the divestiture of any land acquired in violation within one year after judgment is entered. The bill permitted district courts to prevent and restrain violations through injunction, and authorized the Attorney General or county attorney to institute suits on behalf of the state to enforce the provisions of the bill. Civil penalties sued for and recovered by the Attorney General are paid into the State General Fund. Civil penalties sued for and recovered by the county attorney or district

attorney are paid into the general fund of the county where the proceedings were instigated. The additional entities covered by the law through subsequent amendments are covered by the penalties.

Other bills that attempted to make amendments to the Kansas Corporate Farming Law before 1987 included 1985 SB 288 and 1986 SB 543.

Background on the Issue of Permitting Corporate Hog Operations

The issue of permitting corporate hog operations (or sometimes referred to as swine confinement facilities) to expand their acreages was first brought to the Legislature by former State Senator Charlie Angell of Plains in 1984. He requested legislation be introduced that would permit Dekalb Swine Breeders to expand its operation in the Plains area in a partnership with the Seaboard Corporation and Pauls & Whites International. The legislation was introduced by the Senate Agriculture and Small Business Committee and received eventual approval by that Committee. The bill, SB 519, added an additional exemption to the provisions of the Corporate Farming Law. The exemption was for "swine confinement facilities" owned or leased by a corporation. "Swine confinement facility" was defined to mean the structures and related equipment used for housing, breeding, farrowing, or feeding of swine in an enclosed environment. The term included within its meaning agricultural land in such acreage as is necessary for isolation of the facility to reasonably protect the confined animals from exposure to disease and minimize environmental impact. Eventually, the bill received approval by both the Committee and by the Senate Committee of the Whole. In the House, the bill was referred to the Judiciary Committee, which passed the bill without recommendation. The House Committee of the Whole referred the bill to the House Agriculture and Livestock Committee, where it eventually died. In its final form, SB 519 would have permitted corporations to own or lease agricultural land for use as a swine confinement facility, but only as much agricultural land as would be necessary for proper disposal of liquid and solid wastes and for isolation of the facility to reasonably protect the confined animals from exposure to disease.

During this time, the Attorney General was asked by then Secretary of Economic Development, Jamie Schwartz, to respond to specific questions regarding the types of activities that are permitted under the state's Corporate Farming Law. Specifically, Secretary Schwartz asked whether a corporation, desiring to operate a feedlot for hogs, is precluded from the ownership of agricultural land because of its desire to incorporate an incidental breeding operation on its feedlot premises. The Attorney General was responding to the premise that the hogs would be bred, fed, and slaughtered on the feedlot premises.

1987, 1988, and 1989 Legislative Actions and Amendments

The next time the issue of corporate hog operations came before the Legislature was in 1987, as a result of a recommendation made by the Legislative Commission on Kansas Economic Development and by the Economic Development Task Force on Agriculture. The Task Force heard from a spokesperson from the Dekalb Swine Breeders, Inc. He indicated that the firm had intentions, at that time, of expanding its facilities and would like to do so in Kansas, but said that the Corporate Farming Law prevented its expansion in Kansas. As a result, the Agriculture Task Force recommended that legislation be introduced to expand the Kansas Corporate Farming Law by permitting a corporation to own or lease agricultural land for the purpose of operating a swine confinement facility.

In making this recommendation, the Task Force had learned that since 1980 hog numbers in Kansas had declined by 32 percent and the number of hog operations had declined by 42 percent. Also, the Task Force heard testimony that Kansas is ideally located for pork production, the result of which should be the fostering of hog processing facilities. The Task Force also recommended that the expansion of the law should apply to the poultry industry as well.

The recommendation of the Task Force also was made by the Commission. This recommendation resulted in 1987 HB 2076, which was first referred to the House Economic Development Committee. The House Economic Development Committee amended the bill to permit

corporations to purchase agricultural land for the purpose of operating poultry confinement facilities. The bill at this point also prohibited any city or county from granting any exemption from ad valorem property taxation under Section 13 of Article 11 of the Kansas Constitution to a poultry confinement facility located on agricultural land and owned or operated by a corporation. The bill also prohibited any exemption from ad valorem property taxation for property purchased, equipped, constructed, repaired, or enlarged with all or part of the proceeds of revenue bonds used for any poultry confinement facility which is located on agricultural land and owned, acquired, or leased by a corporation. The Committee had eliminated the provision granting any exemption to swine confinement facilities. When it was referred to the Senate Agriculture Committee, rabbit confinement facilities were added to the exemption list. In the Senate Committee of the Whole, an amendment was added to exempt swine confinement facilities. During Conference Committee, the swine confinement facility exemption was deleted. The Governor signed the version exempting poultry and rabbit confinement facilities, and prohibiting them from taking advantage of the tax exemptions described above.

Other bills were introduced during the 1987 Session designed to address, either directly or indirectly, the swine confinement facility issue. These bills included SB 497, HB 2845, HB 2846, HB 2827, and HB 2990 in its amended form.

During the interim of 1987, the Special Committee on Agriculture and Livestock was assigned to study the topic of corporate farming and its impact on Kansas swine producers. During this time period, a consultant was hired to do an analysis of the swine industry in Kansas. The Special Committee reviewed the consultant's report and concluded that a select committee should be formed during the 1988 Legislative Session to consider further the consultant's report, and to receive input from around the state.

The Select Committee again reviewed the consultant's report and received testimony from concerned citizens. The Select Committee recommended legislation, which the Senate Ways and Means Committee introduced, and on which the Senate Agriculture Committee held hearings. This bill, SB 727, did not receive approval by the Senate Agriculture Committee.

The 1988 Legislature, however, did approve HB 3018, which contained amendments to the Kansas Corporate Farming Law. The bill amended the Kansas Corporate Farming Law by defining the terms "processor" and "swine confinement facility"; making it unlawful for processors of pork to contract for the production of hogs of which the processor is the owner or own hogs except for 30 days before the hogs are processed; making pork processors violating the ownership of hogs restriction subject to a \$50,000 fine; clarifying that, except for the pork processors' limitation, agricultural production contracts entered into by corporations, trusts, limited partnerships or corporate partnerships, and farmers are not to be construed to mean the ownership, acquisition, obtainment, or lease of agricultural land. The bill also prohibits any "swine confinement facility" from being granted any exemption from ad valorem taxes by a city or county, the use of proceeds of revenue bonds, the benefits of being in an enterprise zone, or the benefits of the Job Expansion and Investment Credit Act of 1976. Further, the bill establishes a swine technology center at Kansas State University, but provides no appropriations for its establishment. No moneys were appropriated for the swine technology center by the 1988 Legislature, or by any subsequent Legislature.

Three bills were introduced during the 1989 Legislative Session that proposed amendments or related to the corporate farming issue. These bills included HB 2257, HB 2368, and HB 2369. None of these bills were enacted.

Limited Liability Companies—1991 and 1992 Proposals

The 1991 Legislature approved and the Governor signed HB 2535, which made amendments to the Kansas Corporate Farming Law. The bill was assigned to the Judiciary committees in both the House and the Senate. Numerous amendments to various sections of the Corporation Code were made by the bill regarding limited liability companies. Among those were the amendments to the Corporate Farming Law.

In regard to the amendments made to the Kansas Corporate Farming Law, "limited liability companies" were added to the list of entities that are generally prohibited from indirectly or directly owning, acquiring, or otherwise obtaining or leasing any agricultural land in this state. To review the earlier explanation of the Kansas Corporate Farming Law, other entities that are generally prohibited from owning or acquiring agricultural land in Kansas are: corporations, trusts, limited partnerships, or corporate partnerships. The term "limited liability company" is defined in K.S.A. 1991 Supp. 17-7602 to mean a company that is organized under the Kansas Limited Liability Company Act.

As was related earlier in this memorandum, the 1981 and subsequent amendments did establish a list of exemptions to the general prohibition established in the law. The 1991 bill amended the exemptions to the general prohibitions in K.S.A. 17-5904. As a result of the legislation, limited liability companies are now able to own and acquire agricultural land:

- in such acreage as is necessary for the operation of a nonfarming business;
- by process of law in the collection of debts, or pursuant to a contract for deed executed prior to the effective date of the Act, or by any procedure for the enforcement of a lien or claim;
- for use as a feedlot, a poultry confinement facility, or rabbit confinement facility;
- if the "limited liability companies" are partners in corporate partnerships or limited corporate partnerships; and
- if they are organized for coal mining purposes and engage in farming on any tract of land owned by them which has been strip mined for coal.

The Kansas Limited Liability Company Act specifically states that a limited liability company formed under the Act is to be considered a separate legal entity and is not to be construed as a corporation.

The Kansas Corporate Farming Law also was amended to permit limited liability agricultural companies to own and acquire agricultural land in Kansas. Again to review, prior law had permitted only family farm corporations, authorized farm corporations, limited agricultural partnerships, family trusts, authorized trusts, and testamentary trusts to own and acquire agricultural land. (Of course, this law never prohibited or attempted to prohibit any individual from owning any amount of agricultural land in Kansas.)

The term "limited liability agricultural company" was defined by the 1991 legislation. By law this term means a limited liability company founded for the purpose of farming and ownership of agricultural land in which:

- the members do not exceed ten in number;
- the members are all natural persons, persons acting in a fiduciary capacity for the benefit of natural persons, or nonprofit corporations, or general partnerships other than corporate partnerships formed under the laws of the State of Kansas; and
- at least one of the members is a person residing on the farm or actively engaged in the labor or management of the farming operation. If only one member is meeting the requirement of this provision and such member dies, the requirement of this provision does not apply for the period of time that the member's estate is being administered in any district court in Kansas.

The legislation also modified the term "processor" to include limited liability companies. This would mean that any limited liability company that directly or indirectly controls the

manufacturing, processing, or preparation for sale of pork products having a total annual wholesale value of \$10,000,000 or more would be considered a "processor." This is significant since it is unlawful under K.S.A. 17-5904 for processors of pork to contract for the production of hogs of which the processor is the owner or to own hogs except for 30 days before the hogs are processed. Also including the term "processor" would be any person, firm, corporation, member, or limited partner with a 10 percent or greater interest in another person, firm, corporation, limited liability company, or limited partnership involved in the manufacturing, processing, or preparation for sale of pork products having a total annual wholesale value of \$10,000,000 or more.

The 1992 Legislature considered HB 3082, which would have eliminated the permission for limited liability agricultural companies to own, acquire, obtain, or lease, either directly or indirectly, any agricultural land in this state. The bill died in House Agriculture Committee.

Legislative Actions and Amendments—1994

Two bills received legislative and gubernatorial approval during 1994. These bills made changes to the Kansas Corporate Farming law by permitting the acquisition of agricultural land by corporation for the purposes of developing either swine production facilities or dairy production facilities. The two bills which were adopted are described below.

Kansas Corporate Farming Law—Swine. SB 554, as amended by HB 3096, amended the Kansas Corporate Farming Law to permit the establishment of swine production facilities owned or leased by a corporation or limited liability company within a county through one of two methods. The first method allows a board of county commissioners to adopt a resolution, subject to notification and protest petition, permitting the establishment of a swine production facility within the county. The second method allows qualified voters to submit a petition to a board of county commissioners requesting establishment of such a facility within the county. The question of whether the swine production facility should be permitted, either through the protest petition (the first method) or the petition requesting the facility (the second method), is to be triggered by the signatures of not less than 5 percent of the county electors who voted in the election of the Secretary of State in the last preceding general election.

In addition, the bill amended the Kansas Corporate Farming Law to: define "swine marketing pool"; changed the existing definition of "swine confinement facility" to "swine production facility"; and permitted a corporation or a limited liability company, included in the definition of "swine production facility," to hold or lease agricultural land. Several statutes which previously prohibited "swine confinement facilities" from being granted economic development incentives were amended in the bill to apply those prohibitions to "swine production facilities." Two sections of the law were repealed: the restriction on processors of pork from owning hogs (K.S.A. 17-5905), and the penalty imposed for violations of the provision dealing with ownership of hogs by processors (K.S.A. 17-5906).

Furthermore, the bill amended the provisions of the Kansas Basic Enterprises Loan Program, administered by the Kansas Development Finance Authority, to give preference among agricultural business enterprise applicants for program loans to certain swine production facilities and swine marketing pools.

The bill also authorized the registration of swine marketing pools by the Kansas State Board of Agriculture. The intent of such registration is to foster the orderly marketing of swine in Kansas, facilitate the capture of markets by swine producers, and improve the quality of the state's swine herd. The bill outlines the powers assigned to registered swine marketing pools.

Provisions of the bill impose certain requirements on contractors who establish swine production facilities in Kansas, or who contract for the production or raising of hogs, with respect to the treatment of registered swine marketing pools. Despite the requirements enumerated in the bill, no contractor will be prohibited from purchasing hogs from any other business entity, nor will such contractor be prohibited from refusing to purchase or from discounting hogs (or both) if the hogs fail to meet the contractor's quality specifications, or if the shipment of hogs fails to meet the contractor's

delivery terms. In addition, no marketing pool will be prevented from selling hogs to any other business entity. The bill also requires any swine purchasing contract, swine marketing contract, or swine production contract between a contractor and a swine production facility owner or swine marketing pool or swine producer to contain language providing for a resolution of contract disputes, either by mediation or arbitration.

Additional provisions address contractual arrangements between "contractors" and "producers" of hogs in Kansas. These provisions concern the procedures to be followed for failure by either party to pay or perform according to the provisions of the contract.

The Kansas State Board of Agriculture is authorized to promulgate rules and regulations to implement provisions of the bill concerning the registration of, and contractual arrangements pertaining to, swine marketing pools.

Kansas Corporate Farming Law—Dairy. HB 2584, as amended by HB 3096, permits dairy production facilities to be established in a county where a board of county commissioners has adopted a resolution to permit such facilities to be established, subject to certain conditions. If a resolution is passed permitting the establishment of dairy production facilities by a board of county commissioners, the bill requires that the resolution be published in the official county newspaper. The resolution will have to be published twice, and 60 days after the final publication the resolution will be effective.

Further, the bill permits the filing of a valid protest petition in opposition to the resolution adopted by the board of county commissioners, if it is filed within the 60-day time period. The protest petition will have to be signed by at least 5 percent of the number of qualified electors who voted in the last general election for Secretary of State. If the protest petition is found to be valid, the issue of whether dairy production facilities are to be permitted in the county will be placed on the ballot of the next countywide election.

In addition, the bill permits the electors of a county to file a petition allowing the issue of whether to permit dairy production facilities in the county to be placed on the ballot. Specifically, the bill permits the qualified voters of a county to file a petition with the county election officer asking that the issue of whether dairy production facilities should be permitted on the ballot. The petition will have to be signed by not less than 5 percent of the number of electors who voted for the office of Secretary of State at the last preceding general election.

The bill also defines a "dairy production facility" to mean the land, structures, and related equipment used for housing, breeding, raising, feeding, or milking of dairy cows. The term includes within its meaning only such agricultural land as is necessary for proper disposal of liquid and solid wastes and for isolation of the facility to reasonably protect the confined cows from exposure to disease.

The bill further establishes the language that will be used when circulating a petition for signatures and also for the question presented on the ballot when this issue is to be voted upon by the electorate of a county. This language lists the entities which will be permitted to establish a dairy production facility.

Further Legislative Modifications 1996 and 1998

1996 Amendments. In 1996, the Kansas Legislature considered and approved additional amendments to the Kansas Corporate Farming Law. HB 2745 added "family farm limited liability agricultural companies" to the list of entities which are permitted to own, acquire, or otherwise obtain or lease agricultural land in Kansas.

The bill established a definition for the term "family farm limited liability agricultural company." The term means a limited liability company founded for the purpose of farming and ownership of agricultural land in which:

- The majority of the members are persons related to each other, all of whom have a common ancestor within the third degree of relationship, by blood or by adoption, or the spouse or the stepchildren of any of these persons, or persons acting in a fiduciary capacity for these related persons;
- The members are natural persons or persons acting in a fiduciary capacity for the benefit of the natural persons; and
- At least one of the members is a person residing on the farm or actively engaged in the labor or management of the farming operation.

In addition, the bill modified the definition of the term "authorized farm corporation," which is one of the recognized entities permitted to own and acquire agricultural land in Kansas. Under the bill, the incorporators of an "authorized farm corporation" now can include "family farm corporations" and "family farm limited liability agricultural companies" as well as Kansas residents. Likewise, under the bill, the stockholders of "authorized farm corporations" could include "family farm corporations" and "family farm limited liability agricultural companies" as well as natural persons. The bill restricted the requirement that at least 30 percent of the stockholders be persons residing on the farm or actively engaged in the day-to-day labor or management of the farming operation in order to meet the definition of an "authorized farm corporation" to the situation where all of the stockholders are natural persons.

The bill also deleted the portion of the definition of "authorized farm corporation" which stated that if more than one person receives stock from a deceased stockholder that they collectively were to be considered one stockholder, and a husband and wife and their estates were considered to be one stockholder.

In addition, the bill modified the definition of the term "limited liability agricultural company," which is one of the recognized entities permitted to own and acquire agricultural land in Kansas. Under the bill, the members of a "limited liability agricultural company" could include "family farm corporations" and "family farm limited liability agricultural companies" as well as natural persons. The bill also restricted the requirement in this definition that at least one of the members of the "limited liability agricultural company" be a person residing on the farm or actively engaged in the labor or management of the farming operation to the situation where all of the members are natural persons.

The bill added an additional exception to the general prohibition of corporations, trusts, limited liability companies, limited partnerships, or corporate partnerships from owning, acquiring, or otherwise obtaining or leasing agricultural land in Kansas. The bill permitted corporations and limited liability companies to hold or lease agricultural land used in a hydroponic setting. The bill defined "hydroponics" to mean the growing of vegetables, flowers, herbs, or plants used for medicinal purposes, in a growing medium other than soil.

1998 Amendments. In 1998, among numerous other provisions dealing with swine production, the Legislature further modified the Kansas Corporate Farming Law. These modifications were contained in Sub. for HB 2950 and dealt with the issue of the authority of the board of county commissioners.

Specifically, New Sec. 38 of the bill allows the board of county commissioners, in any county which has conducted an advisory election on the question of rescinding a resolution allowing swine production facilities under the Kansas Corporate Farming Law, to adopt a resolution rescinding a resolution adopted under the Corporate Farming Law as it existed before the effective date of the bill. The resolution would be submitted to the qualified electors of the county at the next state or county-wide regular or special election which occurs more than 60 days after the adoption of the resolution. If the majority of the voters vote in favor of the resolution, the county election officer would be required to send a copy of the results to the Secretary of State. The bill required the Secretary of State to publish the results in the *Kansas Register* and swine production facilities would not be allowed to be established in that county. The bill allowed a petition to put the issue on the ballot with respect to rescinding the resolution. The bill sunsets this section on December 31, 1998.