```
>>> Gov. Brownback is proposing to overhaul Medicaid by returning to
>>>managed care.
>>> Yesterday I got a letter from Blue Cross asking if I would be
>>>interested in participating if they bid on the contract, so the
>>>game is afoot.
>>>
>>> Lord knows it needs overhauling. Medicaid is to the states what
>>>Medicare is to the feds: a program which saddles our grandchildren
>>>tomorrow with the costs of health care for the poor today.
>>>
>>> Many readers remember managed care. You will recall, for instance,
>>>that when your kid had a temperature of 103 and was throwing up in
>>>the middle of the night you had to get permission from your doctor
>>>before you could go to the ER.
>>>
>>> Your doctor remembers, too-- all those unnecessary awakenings in
>>>order to give obvious authorizations. When managed care went away,
>>>and I was no longer a "gatekeeper" (what an epithet for a
>>>physician!), my evening and weekend phone calls dropped by about
>>>80%. Office paper-pushing by my nurses did, too.
>>>
>>> Being a plastic surgeon, Lt. Gov. Collyer never had to experience
>>> this downside of managed care. That was for those of us in
>>> pediatrics, family medicine, and internal medicine. I want to
>>> remind him about those useless, uncompensated disruptions to our
>>> family lives.
>>>
 >>> On the positive side, managed care was the only program in the last
 >>>half century to arrest the unsustainable inflation in medical
 >>>costs. For a few years in the mid-1990s, our voracious consumption
 >>>of health care flattened out.
 >>>Then
 >>> managed
 >>> care went away, and costs surged again.
```

>>>

```
>>> The most extreme form of managed care was called "full captitation",
>>>and I was smack in the middle of it. I was responsible for every
>>>dollar spent on my patients, whether provided by me, a hospital, or
>>>a specialist. You can bet that I paid close attention. For
>>>instance, there was the Kansas City neurosurgeon who operated on
>>>one wrist of one of my patients, and charged for both.
>>> [
>>> caught
>>> that by poring over the expenditures line by line.
>>> What happened?
>>> It took a while, but patients finally figured out that their primary
>>>care doctors were being rewarded for restricting their access to
>>>medical services.
>>> Game over.
>>>
>>> It didn't matter that ethical professionals would never withhold
>>> necessary care.
>>> Not every professional is ethical. Trial lawyers sniffed blood in
>>> the water, and the potential conflict of interest poisoned the
>>> doctor-patient relationship.
>>>
>>> How can I persuade a patient that his headache doesn't require an
>>>MRI if he knows I'm going to make a few extra bucks by talking him
>>>our of it?
>>>
>>> So here comes Round Two. Managed care organizations will compete to
>>>offer the low bid for a package of services, and then they will try
>>>to devise an incentive package sweet enough for primary care docs
>>>to put up with extra calls at
>>> 2 a.m.
>>> I wish them luck.
>>>
```

>>> It's not that medicine can't use some managing. There is enormous

```
>>>waste in the American medical industry. Problem is, the only
>>>logical manager is the patient, who has no incentive to do so. The
>>>government hasn't been able to do it.
>>> The
>>> insurance companies haven't been able to do it. The doctors did it,
>>>but hated it, and so did the patients.
>>>
>>> Why would anything be different this time?
>>>
>>> I can only think of one reason. Poor people are hard to manage.
>>>Often they are poor because they live chaotic, disorganized lives.
>>>And they tend to be more demanding than insured patients, due to
>>>the entitlement mentality inbred by the welfare state.
>>> However, the poor are also less likely to find a lawyer to take
>>>their entitlement to court. They tend to make unsympathetic
>>>plaintiffs, due to their low incomes and frequent failure to comply
>>> with medical treatment.
>>> There's no
>>> pot of gold at the end of that contingency-fee rainbow, so there
>>>won't be any seminars at national legal meetings describing how
>>>justice can be served at the expense of malpractice insurers.
>>>
>>> Therefore, for purely cynical reasons, Medicaid managed care just
>>> might work.
>>>
>>> There is a more honorable alternative, which treats the poor as if
>>>they are rational moral agents capable of making prudential
>>>decisions about their own welfare, rather than pawns to be
>>>"managed". Federal Medicaid law allow states to devise
 >>>consumer-driven health plans involving health savings accounts.
 >>>
 >>> Indiana, for one, is experimenting with this approach.
 >>>
 >>> It combines comprehensive coverage with financial incentives to
```

```
>>> consume health care responsibly. I don't know if it will work.
```

>>> It's an experiment.

>>>

>>> For moral reasons, I wish the administration would have Kansas join

>>> Indiana in the laboratory of democracy.

>>>

>>>

>>> --

>>> Doug Iliff, MD

>>>

>>> 1119 SW Gage Boulevard

>>> Topeka, Kansas 66604

>>>

>>> Work Phone: 785-271-6161

>>> (rolls to answering service 24/7)

>>> Home Phone: 785-357-1854

>>> (answering machine 24/7)

>>> Cell Phone 785-845-3792

>>> Office Fax: 785-271-6414