# Testimony Re: HB 2159 Senate Public Health and Welfare Committee

March 15, 2012

Madam Chair and Members of the Committee.

Thank you for allowing me to speak today. I am Mark Dwyer, a Physical Therapist, and I am in support of HB 2159. I have practiced as a physical therapist since 1987 and have worked in multiple settings, including inpatient hospital, outpatient, skilled nursing facility, inpatient rehabilitation facility, and work hardening/industrial rehabilitation.

I support HB 2159 because this bill would allow patients to self-refer themselves to physical therapists if/when the patient chooses to do so. It still requires the PT to send to the physician a copy of the evaluation within 5 working days, and it requires the PT to secure a physician referral should the patient not make progress after 10 patient visits or 15 business days from the initial treatment visit.

After testifying twice before the House Health and Human Services Committee on HB 2159, I was satisfied to participate in and see come to fruition a compromise with the physician groups with the end result being an agreed upon bill. I am dismayed, however, to learn that some have since reneged on that compromise and that there remains opposition even after a compromise was reached.

I say that because we have a clear record of physical therapy patient self-referral's success in the United States. There are already seventeen (17) states that have complete unrestricted patient self-referral to physical therapy, which allows patients full access, without any restrictions to physical therapy services. **This is not a new policy**, as the majority of these states instituted patient self-referral 20-30 years ago! Listed below are those states along with the year their unrestricted patient self-referral was enacted. If you do the math you will see that the United States already has a combined **453 years** of experience with unrestricted patient self-referral to physical therapy services.

Alaska - 1986 Arizona – 1983 Colorado - 1988 Hawaii - 2010 Idaho - 1987 Iowa - 1988 Kentucky - 1987 Maryland – 1979 Massachusetts - 1982 Montana – 1987 Nebraska - 1957 Nevada – 1985 North Dakota - 1989 South Dakota - 1986 Utah - 1985 Vermont – 1988 West Virginia – 1984

In addition there are eighteen (18) more states with broad patient self-referral with provisions, some of which are similar to HB 2159 but allowing many more days, such as the sixty (60) days that Oregon allows.

Senate Public Health and Welfare Committee Testimony for HB 2159 March 15, 2012 Page 2 of 5

We have heard concern expressed in the past about safety regarding patient self-referral to PT services. However, in those states with complete unrestricted patient self-referral to PT services there is no record of a safety problem, and in none of the states have those laws been repealed. To our knowledge, there has never even been any action taken to try to repeal these laws by any physician group or any other group. One would think that if patient self-referral to physical therapy was such a safety risk, groups would be trying to repeal it and legislatures would be taking action. Yet there has been no activity of that kind in any of those states.

The Kansas Health Institute article<sup>1</sup> titled, "Collaborative Efforts Can Save Money and Improve Care" that is included in my "Supporting Documents" demonstrates the cost saving power of providing businesses, insurers, and patients a choice in their providers. As the article states, "Rather than waiting to see a doctor, Cady and other patients with routine back pain now see a physical therapist within 48 hours of calling, compared with about 19 days previously, Intel says. They complete their treatment in 21 days, compared with 52 days in the past. The cost per patient has dropped 10 percent to 30 percent due to fewer unnecessary doctor visits and diagnostic imaging tests. And patients are more satisfied and return to work faster." This came about because of "an unusual collaboration between Intel, two local health care systems, and a health insurer." Also note that nowhere in this article is there any mention of patient harm as a result of seeing physical therapists first.

There is a more interesting aspect to this article, however. It is that under current State law we could not create that type of program here in Kansas. By passing HB 2159 you would allow Kansas employers, insurers, and patients the opportunity to establish these collaborative programs that will provide high quality care while at the same time lowering costs for everyone involved.

To further demonstrate the cost savings potential of patient self-referral, see the recently published study in Health Services Research (abstract is included in my "Supporting Documents") that documents these results, "Self-referred episodes had fewer PT visits (86% of physician referred) and lower allowable amounts (\$0.87 for every \$1.00)". See the table below for the differences found between self-referred PT episodes of care compared to physician referred episodes of care.

	SELF-REFERRED	PHYSICIAN REFERRED
Average Age	43.6	45.9
Average visits per episode	5.9	7.0
Allowable amount per episode	\$347	\$420

The data above represent a 16% reduction in visits and a 17% reduction in the cost of care.

Lowering costs is important in today's system since changes that have occurred in insurance coverage over the last ten years is placing more of the financial responsibility for care on the patient. Because of that, it is now more important than ever to provide patients more choice in the health care services they receive. Many employers and insurance companies have embraced consumer directed health plans that put more of the financial responsibility on the shoulders of the patients. These involve medical savings accounts tied to high deductible health insurance plans. Even "regular" insurance plans are now instituting high deductibles, as high as \$3,000, \$4,000, and even over \$5,000, along with high co-pays and 20% or higher co-insurance.

<sup>1</sup> http://www.khi.org/news/2012/jan/06/collaborative-efforts-can-save-money-and-improve-c/

Senate Public Health and Welfare Committee Testimony for HB 2159 March 15, 2012 Page 3 of 5

The theory behind putting more of the cost burden on the patient is that it will force patients to be active participants in their health care and create incentives for patients to choose more carefully when to receive care and who to receive it from so as to reduce cost. However, the only way in which this can be an effective long-term strategy for the American and Kansas health care systems is **if patients can actually exercise those choices** in what health care to seek out and who to receive it from.

It's not as if patients are alone is wanting to exercise these choices. Employers, insurance companies, and even some government payors are designing coverage packages that specifically place this decision making responsibility on the patient, but what good is it if the patient cannot make those decisions because State law prevents them from doing so?

Those changes are having the desired effect, too, as demonstrated in the just released CMS "National Health Expenditure Data" report. In that report it states, "U.S. health care spending grew 3.9 percent following record slow growth of 3.8 percent in 2009; the two slowest rates of growth in the fifty-one year history of the National Health Expenditure Accounts". That is great news in that we are slowing health care spending in the U.S.! This report attributes some of this slowing to "higher cost-sharing requirements for some employers," which is what I describe above in that patients are taking on more of the cost responsibility for their care. Interestingly, it also goes on to attribute some of the cause of the slower growth to "a decline in private health insurance enrollment", which places ALL of the health care cost burden on the patient.

In light of the fact that our health care system seeks to put more of the financial responsibility on the patient, AND that it's actually working to reduce the growth in health care spending, **the patient has to be given the CHOICE of where to receive that care**. In the seventeen states listed on the first page, patients can exercise their right to see a PT should they choose to do so, and it is clear that there is not a safety issue in those states. In Kansas we cannot access PTs when we want to, and you cannot either, even if you pay for it out of pocket. This is costing Kansas businesses, insurers, and patients more than it is in those states that allow patient self-referral to PT services.

As a result of this overwhelming evidence favoring patient self-referral to physical therapists, I ask that you pass HB 2159 so as to allow the citizens, employers, and insurers in Kansas the same ability to access physical therapist services as our neighbors enjoy in Iowa, Nebraska, and Colorado, and that led to significant cost savings at Intel in Oregon.

Thank you for permitting me to testify. I welcome any questions you may have for me.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://www.cms.gov/nationalhealthexpenddata/

Senate Public Health and Welfare Committee Testimony for HB 2159 March 15, 2012 Page 4 of 5

#### SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

#### Wellmark Blue Cross and Blue Shield 2008 Pilot Program

The Wellmark Blue Cross and Blue Shield 2008 pilot program, a quality improvement program for lowa and South Dakota physical medicine providers, collected data from 238 physical therapists, occupational therapists, and chiropractors who provided care to 5,500 Wellmark members with musculoskeletal disorders.

The data showed that 89% of the Wellmark members treated in the pilot reported a greater than 30% improvement in 30 days. In addition, Wellmark claims data for members who received care from physical therapists or chiropractors was compared with data for a member population with similar demographics (including health) who did not receive such services. The comparison showed that those who received physical therapy or chiropractic care were less likely to have surgery and experienced lower total health care costs.

#### From the CMS National Health Expenditures 2010 Report

"Out-of-Pocket: Out-of-pocket spending grew 1.8 percent in 2010, an acceleration from growth of 0.2 percent in 2009. Faster growth in 2010 partially reflects higher cost-sharing requirements for some employers, consumers' switching to plans with lower premiums and higher deductibles and/or copayments, and the continued loss of health insurance coverage." https://www.cms.gov/NationalHealthExpendData



### Health Services Research

© Health Research and Educational Trust DOI: 10.1111/j.1475-6773.2011.01324.x RESEARCH ARTICLE

## A Comparison of Health Care Use for Physician-Referred and Self-Referred Episodes of Outpatient Physical Therapy

Jane Pendergast, Stephanie A. Kliethermes, Janet K. Freburger, and Pamela A. Duffy

**Objective.** To compare patient profiles and health care use for physician-referred and self-referred episodes of outpatient physical therapy (PT).

Data Source. Five years (2003–2007) of private health insurance claims data, from a Midwest insurer, on beneficiaries aged 18–64.

**Study Design.** Retrospective analyses of health care use of physician-referred (N = 45,210) and self-referred (N = 17,497) ambulatory PT episodes of care was conducted, adjusting for age, gender, diagnosis, case mix, and year.

Data Collection/Extraction. Physical therapy episodes began with the physical therapist initial evaluation and ended on the last date of service before 60 days of no further visits. Episodes were classified as physician-referred if the patient had a physician claim from a reasonable referral source in the 30 days before the start of PT.

**Principal Findings.** The self-referred group was slightly younger, but the two groups were very similar in regard to diagnosis and case mix. Self-referred episodes had fewer PT visits (86 percent of physician-referred) and lower allowable amounts (\$0.87 for every \$1.00), after covariate adjustment, but did not differ in related health care utilization after PT.

Conclusions. Health care use during PT episodes was lower for those who selfreferred, after adjusting for key variables, but did not differ after the PT episode.

Key Words. Access to care, physical therapy, physician referral, direct access