Cost Estimates			
All estimates in 2011 dollar	rs unless oth	erwise noted.	
Estimates are from the Kar	nsas City-Wi	chita-Oklahomo	City-Fort Worth Corridor Passenger Rail Service Thoff for KDOT and ODOT and released in November 2011
	Estimate date if not 2011		Notes .
		Heartland	Flyer Extension
Improvements costs			
new track	2009	\$106 million	BNSF estimate; would include 26.6 miles of new mainline double track (\$114 million in 2010 dollars); assumes no adjustments to freight operations or added freight delays
infrastructure (track and signal improvements, turnouts, etc.) (1) (2)		\$87.5 million	DOT consultant estimate; includes layover facility and grade crossing improvements between Newton and OKC \$49.0 million Kansas share \$38.5 million Oklahoma share
included in infrastructure: new track		\$75 million	included in infrastructure estimate DOT consultant estimate; included in infrastructure; would include 15 miles of additional track: 10.5 miles of double track and 4.5 miles for two new passing sidings; construction cost; 2011 dollars; includes 20 percent allowance for "soft costs" for planning and environmental studies and 30 percent contingency \$42.5 million Kansas \$32.5 million Oklahoma
included in infrastructure: layover facility in Newton		\$2.5 million	included in infrastructure estimate
included in infrastructure: grade crossing improvement between Newton and OKC		\$10 million	included in infrastructure estimate \$4 million Kansas \$6 million Oklahoma
upgrade at-grade crossing signal systems	2009	\$8 million	BNSF estimate; required to permit increase in operating speeds
soft costs (planning, environmental studies) (3)		\$18 million	\$10 million Kansas \$8 million Oklahoma

			
	Estimate	-	Notes
	date if not		
	2011		
contingencies		\$27 million	30 percent contingency; likely to be reduced after
Ì			detailed engineering is done
			\$15 million Kansas share
			\$12 million Oklahoma share
total DOT consultant		\$132.5 million	TALL IMMONITORING STIGIC
estimate for above			
Train equipment		\$4 million	1 additional standard coach
rolling stock (5)			
Total Infrastructure and		\$136.5 million	
Equipment Cost	:	•	
Additional expenses			
stations		unknown	Local communities would be responsible for providing
			station improvements (refurbishment or construction),
			,
			services, operations, ongoing maintenance, and
			parking. Stations must comply with Americans with
			Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements.
preliminary engineering	2012	\$5.3 million	environmental reviews required by the National
premimary engineering	2012	55.5 million	1
			Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and sufficient
			preliminary engineering to complete that review (KDOT
			had expected to submit an application with Oklahoma
			for a federal TIGER grant for this part of the project)
			(from a memorandum from KDOT, 9 February 2012)
		4	
Operating costs -		\$4.4 million	estimate based on costs per unit (rider, train miles,
net incremental operating		,	etc.) of existing Heartland Flyer operating costs; total
costs for the Extension (4)			costs minus increase in revenue; allocation
			methodology would be subject to agreement among
			the states
Total projected	2010	\$8.855 million	The total operating subsidy required for Newton to Fort
operating subsidy			Worth, including the existing Heartland Flyer (OKC to
			Fort Worth) contribution of \$4.5 million; to be shared
			among the states (Kansas, Oklahoma, Texas) in an
			allocation that would be negotiated
			The state of the s
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			i.

	Estimate		Notes
	date if not		
	2011		
KC-OKC-FW Daytime Service			
Improvements costs			
new track	2009	\$405 million	BNSF estimate; would include 92.2 miles of new
			mainline track (\$413 million in 2010 dollars)
infrastructure (track and		\$368.2 million	DOT consultant estimate; includes layover facility and
signal improvements,			grade crossing improvements between Newton and
turnouts, etc.) (1)			ОКС
			\$107.2 million Kansas share
			\$151.5 million Oklahoma share
			\$109.5 million Texas share
included in infrastructure:		\$245.5 million	DOT consultant estimate; included in infrastructure;
new track			would include 15 miles of additional track: 10.5 miles of
			double track and 4.5 miles for two new passing sidings;
			construction cost; 2011 dollars
			\$71.5 million Kansas share
			\$101 million Oklahoma share
·			\$73 million Texas share
soft costs (planning,		\$49 million	\$14.2 million Kansas share
environmental studies)		9-3 mmon	\$20.2 million Oklahoma share
(3)			\$14.6 million Texas share
contingencies		\$27 million	30 percent contingency; likely to be reduced after
oon angenoies		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	detailed engineering is done
	:		\$21.5 million Kansas share
			\$30.3 million Oklahoma share
			\$21.9 million Texas share
grade crossing		\$10 million	included in infrastructure estimate
improvement between		'	\$4 million Kansas
Newton and Oklahoma			\$6 million Oklahoma
City			
total		\$368.2 million	DOT consultant estimate; includes layover facility and
			grade crossing improvements between Newton and
4			окс
			\$107.2 million Kansas share
			\$151.5 million Oklahoma share
			\$109.5 million Texas share
upgrade at-grade crossing	2009	\$8 million	BNSF estimate; required to permit increase in operating
signal systems			speeds
Train Equipment		\$68 million	2 train sets (2 locomotives, 3 standard coaches, 1 food
rolling stock (5)			service car) plus 1 set spares
Total Cost		\$436.2 million	includes both infrastructure and equipment costs (not
			operating costs)
Projected operating	2010	\$14.5 million	to be shared among the states (Kansas, Oklahoma,
subsidy (4)			Texas) in an allocation that would be negotiated.
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

	Estimate date if not 2011		Notes
Operating costs - net incremental operating costs for Daytime Service (4)		\$10.0 million	estimate based on costs per unit (rider, train miles, etc.) of existing Heartland Flyer operating costs; total costs minus increase in revenue; allocation methodology would be subject to agreement among the states
		Combin	ed Services
Improvements costs			
infrastructure		\$268 million	includes track improvements in Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas; grade crossing improvements between Newton and Oklahoma City; and the layover facility in Newton \$94 million Kansas share \$101 million Oklahoma share \$73 million Texas share
soft costs (planning, environmental studies) (3)	-	\$54 million	\$19.0 million Kansas share \$20.5 million Okłahoma share \$14.5 million Texas share
contingencies		\$81 million	30 percent contingency; likely to be reduced after detailed engineering is done \$28.5 million Kansas share \$30.5 million Oklahoma share \$22.0 million Texas share
Total Improvement Cost		\$403 million	
Train equipment rolling stock (3)		\$72 million	(estimated costs of equipment for the Extension and KC-OKC-FW added together)
Projected operating subsidy - net incremental operating costs for Combined Services (4)	2010	\$18.2 million	2010 dollars

	Estimate date if not	Notes
	2011	
Notes:		
(1) Infrastructure current levels. B	e improvements were develop NSF maintains the Newton-OK	ed in coordination with BNSF and would ensure freight service at C corridor for 55 mph freight train operations. Between Newton

and Kansas City, much of the route permits 79 mph passenger train operations. (SDP, pp. 29, 31)

The Oklahoma Statewide Freight and Passenger Rail Plan, p. 11-16, notes about the Heartland Flyer route, "As one of the original subscribers to Amtrak under the Rail Passenger Service Act, Amtrak was given the right to operate over Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe (now BNSF) tracks in exchange for payment of the incremental costs imposed by the passenger operation. Because calculation of incremental costs is not a science, considerable negotiation is required to settle on the level of payment."

- (2) In testimony to the Senate Transportation Committee on February 8, 2012, a representative of the Northern Flyer Alliance stated BNSF is installing a rail traffic management system called Positive Train Control (PTC) (as are other railroads nationwide) that would reduce the infrastructure costs by an unspecified amount. BNSF confirmed it is installing PTC on most of the route.
- (3) In 2012 testimony, there was disagreement on whether certain portions of environmental studies would be required. KDOT stated (2/9/12, memorandum to legislators) that a Federal Railroad Administration official said there is no categorical exclusion for service improvements. 40 CFR 1508.4 defines "categorical exclusion" and says agencies determine whether an assessment is required. 64 FR 28545 (May 26, 1999) provides information on Federal Railroad Administration criteria for "categorical exclusion." A notice of intent to update the criteria list was published in the Federal Register on June 13, 2012 (77 FR 35471).
- (4) Section 209 of the Passenger Rail Investment and Improvement Act (PRIIA) of 2008 required changes to how costs are shared with states for state-sponsored trains. The law requires states to agree to any new methodology, which is to take effect five years after enactment. Because the State of Indiana did not agree to the methodology (the 18 others so affected did), the matter went to the Surface Transportation Board (STB). In March 2012, the STB ruled the proposed allocation method was reasonable. Oklahoma has calculated the subsidy provided for Heartland Flyer operations will increase by approximately 14.5 percent. The allocation methodology change could affect estimates for operating costs. (Oklahoma Rail Plan, p. 12-7; Surface Transportation Board Decision Document in Docket FD_35571_0)
- (5) Under Section 305 of the Passenger Rail Investment and Improvement Act (PRIIA) of 2008, new equipment purchased with any federal dollars must meet specifications developed by a committee from the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials ("305 specifications"). (SDP, p. 60)

Sources: "Kansas City-Wichita-Oklahoma City-Fort Worth Corridor Passenger Rail Service Development Plan," prepared by Parsons Brinckerhoff for KDOT and ODOT, November 2011; Feasibility Report of Proposed Amtrak Service, Kansas City, Missouri - Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, to Fort Worth, Texas," Amtrak, March 2010; KDOT memorandum to the Senate and House Transportation Committees and the Kansas Rail Caucus dated 9 February 2012; "Oklahoma Statewide Freight and Passenger Rail Plan," prepared by Parsons Brinckerhoff for ODOT, May 2012; Surface Transportation Board website accessed 24 October 2012

Prepared by KLRD, October 2012	
	