### SESSION OF 2018

### SUPPLEMENTAL NOTE ON SENATE BILL NO. 419

## As Recommended by Senate Committee on Assessment and Taxation

### **Brief\***

SB 419 would make several changes to the Kansas Appraisal Management Company Registration Act.

The bill would prohibit individuals with appraisal credentials who have been refused, denied, suspended, revoked, surrendered, or non-renewed from a pending disciplinary proceeding in any jurisdiction from owning an interest in an appraisal management company. Current law allows such persons to own up to 10 percent of such companies.

The bill would authorize the Kansas Real Estate Appraisal Board (Board) to transmit information and any disciplinary action taken on appraisal management companies to the National Registry of the Appraisal Subcommittee (Appraisal Subcommittee) of the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council.

The bill would clarify the Board has the authority to collect and remit national registry fees for certain appraisal management companies operating in Kansas that are otherwise exempt from state-specific registration requirements (as authorized in KSA 2017 Supp. 58-4705).

Finally, the bill would remove an initial 30-day window that appraisal management companies have under current law to remove appraisers from their panels without written notification.

-

<sup>\*</sup>Supplemental notes are prepared by the Legislative Research Department and do not express legislative intent. The supplemental note and fiscal note for this bill may be accessed on the Internet at http://www.kslegislature.org

# **Background**

The bill was introduced by the Senate Committee on Federal and State Affairs. In the Senate Committee on Assessment and Taxation hearing on March 20, the bill was supported by the Board, whose executive director explained the various changes were being requested to bring the Kansas appraisal management registration program into compliance with national requirements promulgated by the Appraisal Subcommittee.

No other testimony was provided.

The Senate Committee recommended the bill be placed on the Consent Calendar.

According to the fiscal note provided by the Division of the Budget on the bill, the Board indicates it would be able to absorb any additional administrative costs within existing budgetary resources.