

300 SW 8th Avenue, Ste. 100 Topeka, KS 66603-3951 P: (785) 354-9565 F: (785) 354-4186

www.lkm.org

To: House Committee on Taxation

From: Trey Cocking, Deputy Director

Date: March 16, 2020

RE: Testimony in opposition to Senate Bill 294

Good Afternoon Chairman Johnson and Committee Members and thank you for allowing the League of Kansas Municipalities to testify in opposition to Senate Bill 294.

I want to be very clear; the League of Kansas Municipalities and our member cities support transparency measures that are represented in this bill, and we were pleased that the entire Senate recognized the importance of repealing the flawed tax lid. After spending hours reviewing SB 294, however, we remain opposed to the policy behind this bill and we are opposed to SB 294 for a number of policy and technical reasons outlined in my testimony.

The Utah model of "tax transparency" has been touted by both the Tax Foundation and the American Legislative Exchange Council as a method to control property taxes. According to http://www.richstatespoorstates.org/which ranks property taxes per \$1,000 of personal income, property taxes range from a low of \$14.08 in Alabama to the high of \$55.44 in New Hampshire. Utah is currently at \$24.75 and Kansas is at \$31.54. If you removed the state-controlled portion of the property tax bill, then the Kansas would be at \$26.59 per \$1,000 of personal income.

Instead of gradual increases, Utah has seen steep increases in property taxes as jurisdictions have exhausted reserves and have been left with no alternative but to raise taxes. In 2019, 83 of the estimated 700 taxing jurisdictions in that state raised taxes, and those increases ranged from .05% increase to 140.3% increase. The largest dollar increase resulted in \$245.29 increase to the property taxes of the average area home by one taxing entity.

SB 294 is deficient in several areas and needs additional work if it is to become law:

1. Although some have claimed that SB 294 is the Utah model, it is not. The largest difference is that the Utah law allows for new growth without utilizing the truth-in-taxation hearing process. It is essential that taxing entities be able to efficiently capture new growth in their tax revenue because there is almost always an increase in costs of service, including: 1. New

roads 2. Need for additional police response 3. A need for fire response, inspections, and potentially costly equipment. Our cities are not opposed to voting on additional taxes from new growth, but it needs to be transparent that the increase in taxes came from new growth and not an increase in valuation. The bill includes the calculation of the "revenue neutral rate" which adds in valuation from new growth (new construction, annexation, and expiring abatements) and then reduces the mill levy. This process causes an outcome where it appears that new growth is lowering the revenue neutral rate. We strongly believe that property taxpayers need to see a breakout between new growth and valuation increases in the truth-in-taxation statements. We believe additional transparency is critical.

2019	
Total City Property	
Taxes	\$1,000,000
Valuation	\$30,000,000
Home Valuation	\$100,000
Mill Levy	33.30
Property Tax	\$382.95

2020 Under SB 294	
Total City Property	
Taxes	\$1,000,000
2019 Valuation	\$30,000,000
New Growth	\$1,200,000
Valuation Increase	\$1,200,000
2020 Valuation	\$32,400,000
2019 Home Valuation	\$100,000
4% Valuation Increase	\$104,000
Revenue Neutral Rate	30.864
Property Tax	\$354.94

2. We are also concerned that SB 294 does not transparently show the annual increases that occur because of the 21.5 mills that are controlled by the legislature. In Overland Park, for instance, the city levies 12% of the property tax bill and the state-controlled portion makes up 18% of the tax bill. Looking at all taxing districts combined, the state-controlled portion of the mill levy makes up almost 16% of the total tax bill. It is critical that the state portion of the tax bill, and those automatic increases received by the state are shown on the truth-in-taxation statements.

- 3. The truth-in-taxation statements need to include information on all taxing jurisdictions. It is imperative that that a taxpayer doesn't get a statement showing taxes will go up \$15 to \$600 for their city taxes, and then get their tax bill in November and find their tax bill is \$3,500 and went up an additional \$150 because of school district and state increases. All truth-in-taxations statements mailed must include the portions levied by schools and the state.
- 4. The bill still calls for a refund of tax payments if \$.01 is received over the amount of the "revenue neutral rate." This section would result in cities having to deal with a messy process where taxpayers receive checks for \$.17 that cost over \$1 to process and mail out. The bill needs to be amended to provide for the funds to be escrowed and returned as a credit on the next year's tax statement or have a minimum overcollection threshold before checks would be sent.
- 5. Cities need to be able to continue to set the property tax levy in terms of dollars and not mills. There is significant variation in mills between the June 15 preliminary valuation number and the certified valuation on October 1, and cities cannot predict that variation as properties work through the tax appeal process. Cities also need additional time to send the County Clerk notice.
- 6. The August 1st date has been the traditional target date information is due to the clerk and should remain so under this bill.
- 7. There need to be guard rails established for costs that the county clerk can bill back to taxing entities for the preparation of statements. In addition, if the county is having a truth-in-taxation hearing then the county should be liable for the costs, since every parcel owner will receive a statement.
- 8. The bill requires governing bodies to vote at the same meeting as the truth-in-taxation hearing. We believe that this is bad government and will not allow for time to research and review comments from the truth-in-taxation hearing. The bill needs to be amended to allow a governing body to set a future date at the truth-in-taxation hearing to adopt the tax levy.
- 9. The bill needs to be modified to change the dates for the budget hearings. Currently, you would still have to follow the August deadlines for budget adoption and then have the truth-in-taxation hearing in September. This is not practical and there are several statutes that are in explicit conflict to the provisions in SB 294. Please see our attached testimony

from the recent hearing on SB 338 in the Senate Assessment and Taxation Committee that gives a detailed explanation for the need to either pass Senate Bill 338 with our recommended amendment or incorporate SB 338 with the proposed amendment in SB 294.

We will continue to work with the committee to find solutions to improve the lives of Kansans; however, SB 294 is not the right solution for Kansas, and we ask the committee to not report this bill out of committee.



300 SW 8th Avenue, Ste. 100 Topeka, KS 66603-3951

P: (785) 354-9565 F: (785) 354-4186 www.lkm.org

To: Senate Assessment and Taxation

From: Amanda L. Stanley, General Counsel

Date: March 11, 2020

RE: Testimony in Support of Senate Bill 338

I want to thank Chairwoman Tyson and the Committee members for allowing the League of Kansas Municipalities the opportunity to provide testimony in support of SB 338.

When SB 338 was originally introduced by the League, it was based on the current budget law without SB 294. Kansas municipalities have been struggling with unintended consequences of the current Kansas budget law for years. In the summer of 2018, the League formed an advisory committee to review the current Kansas budget law and look for solutions to improve their operations. The committee's recommendation was to create an optional system where a taxing entity could either follow existing budget law or complete a two-step process.

Under current Kansas budget law, a taxing entity is required to complete its budget by August 25th. This is four months before the start of the new budget year. While this works for cities with budgets made up of solely ad valorem tax, it has not worked well for cities with budgets including alternative forms of revenue such as sales tax, payment in lieu of taxes, permits, and fees. The current budget law has largely been in place since 1933. In 1933, revenues for local governments were almost exclusively from property tax. Property tax is a very stable revenue source with very little variation from year to year. The vast majority of today's modern cities are dependent on a broad source of revenues, and property tax typically makes up only 20-40% of a city's total budget. Other revenue sources, such as sales tax, are more volatile as they can be impacted by macro and micro forces including recessions, weather, and the success of the local sports team.

The intent behind SB 338 was to give taxing entities the option of either using the existing budget and property tax levy setting process or a new procedure. With the new option, a taxing entity would set the amount of property tax by August, and then would have until November 30th to adopt their budget. That way, they would have three more months to forecast non-property tax revenues and adjust their budget accordingly. They would still go through the notification and public hearing requirement to set their property tax levy in August.

As we have studied the big picture of budgeting and the effect of SB 294; however, it became apparent that SB 338 was the perfect vehicle to accomplish the original goal of SB 338, having final budgets adopted closer to the start of the next fiscal year, and cleaning up some statutory issues that will result if SB 294 is passed in its current form.

I have attached a proposed amendment to accomplish both goals. The amendment establishes two alternative budget procedures

- (1) if a municipality does not intend to exceed the revenue neutral rate and hold a truth and taxation hearing, or if the truth and taxation hearing requirements do not apply to that municipality, these municipalities will follow the current statutory rules for budgets; and
- (2) a procedure for when a municipality does intend to exceed the revenue neutral rate and hold a truth and taxation hearing. The balance of my testimony will be to explain each of these changes.

If a city has filed an intent to exceed the revenue neutral rate with the county clerk pursuant to SB 294 New Section 1 (b)(2), the governing body will prepare a notice showing the amount to be raised by ad valorem taxes and an estimated budget classified by funds. The city will then hold its truth in taxation hearing pursuant to SB 294 New Section 1 (b)(3) on the amount to be raised by ad valorem taxes.

Following the hearing, the governing body will submit the certified tax rate to the county clerk by September 20th as required by SB 294 as well as the notice required in K.S.A 79-2927(a)(2) giving the necessary information regarding ad valorem funds. Now that the governing body knows exactly how much will be raised by ad valorem taxes, the governing body will have until November 30th to certify the final budget taking into account other sources of revenue. By September, the governing body will have far more accurate numbers about other alternative sources of revenue as it finalizes the budget. The final budget once adopted by November 30th will be submitted directly to the director of accounts and reports. There is no reason for the final budget to go first to the county clerk if the county clerk has already received the notice under K.S.A. 79-2927(a)(2) with the necessary ad valorem tax information in order to place it on the taxpayers bill. The only portion of a city budget that a county clerk needs to be involved in is the amount directly related to ad valorem taxes. Most of the original budget laws having the budget first go to the county clerk to be checked over were passed before home rule and long before the age of the internet when a city's budget was easily accessible on the department of administration's website. The ad valorem tax portion will be completed and to the county clerk by September 20th.

The amendment also takes into account two additional statutes that need to be amended if SB 294 is passed in its current form. K.S.A. 79-2933 calls for any hearing on budgets to be held not less than 10 days before the municipality certifies the levy to the county clerk. SB 294 allows for a hearing 5 days before the levy is certified. The amendment changes the 10-day requirement to a 5-day requirement to match SB 294. Finally, the amendment amends K.S.A. 79-1801. This statute requires municipalities certify to the county clerk the amount of ad valorem tax to be levied by August 25th. That date no longer makes sense if a city or county is having a truth in taxation hearing. The amendment takes this into account and requires that if a budget is adopted under this alternative budget timeline, that the ad valorem tax must be certified to the county clerk by September 20th as required in SB 294.

The goal of SB 338 has changed from creating a new way of allowing cities to adopt budgets to being a vehicle to help clean up some of the practical issues that have arisen as the League and its members have continued to study how SB 294 overlaps with the current budget law. For all these reasons, we ask that this Committee pass SB 294 to the full Senate for its consideration.

SB 338 2

SENATE BILL No. 338

By Committee on Ethics, Elections and Local Government

1-29

AN ACT concerning municipalities; adoption of budgets; authorizing an alternative procedure; amending K.S.A. 79-2927, 79-2929 and 79-2930 and repealing the existing sections.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

Section 1. K.S.A. 79-2927 is hereby amended to read as follows: 79-2927. (a) The governing body of each taxing subdivision or municipality shall meet not later than the first day of August of each year, and shall prepare in writing on forms furnished by the director of accounts and reports *either*:

11 (1) Not later than the first day of August each year Aa budget itemized and classified by funds and showing amounts

to be raised by taxation and from other sources for the ensuing budget year. The budget shall show in parallel columns all amounts and items to be expended for the ensuing budget year and the amounts appropriated for corresponding or other items during the current budget year and amounts expended for corresponding or other items during the preceding budget year. The budget for each fund shall not include any item for sundry or miscellaneous purposes in excess of 10% of the total. Except for school districts, municipal universities and community colleges, the budget for each fund may include a non-appropriated balance of not to exceed 5% of the total of each fund.

(b)—The budget shall show in parallel columns the amount of revenue actually received from taxation and from other sources, with the amount from each source separately stated for the preceding budget year and the amount actually received and estimated to be received from taxation and from sources other than direct taxation with the amount for each source separately stated for the current budget year and also the amount estimated to be received during the ensuing budget year, with the amount estimated to be received from each source separately stated. Except as provided by K.S.A. 79-2927a, and amendments thereto, the budget of expenditures for each fund shall balance with the budget of revenues for such fund and that portion of the budget of revenues to be derived from ad valorem property taxation shall not exceed the amount of tax which can be raised by any fund limit or aggregate limit placed upon such fund; or

(2) Instead of preparing a budget pursuant to subsection (a)(1), when the governing body has filed an intent to exceed the revenue neutral rate with the county clerk on or before July 15 pursuant to SB 294 New Section 1(b)(2), the governing body may prepare a notice not later than September 20 showing only the amounts to be

SB 338 3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

raised by ad valorem taxation. The notice shall contain an estimated budget classified by funds and show amounts to be raised by taxation. The notice shall show in parallel columns an estimate of expenses for the ensuing budget year and the amounts appropriated for corresponding or other items during the current budget year and amounts expended for corresponding or other items during the preceding budget year. The budget shall show in parallel columns the amount of revenue actually received from taxation and from other sources with the amount from each source separately stated for the preceding budget year and the amount estimated to be received from taxation and from sources other than direct taxation with the amount for each source separately stated for the current budget year and also the amount estimated. Except as provided by K.S.A. 79-2927a, and amendments thereto, the budget of expenditures for each fund shall balance with the budget of revenues for such fund, and that portion of the budget of revenues to be derived from ad valorem property taxation shall not exceed the amount of tax that can be raised by any fund limit or aggregate limit placed upon such fund.

(b) The governing body of each taxing subdivision or municipality that completed the notice provided in subsection (a)(2) by August 1, shall prepare in writing on forms furnished by the director of accounts and reports by November 30, a final budget itemized and classified by funds and showing amounts to be raised by taxation and from other sources for the ensuing budget year. The budget shall show in parallel columns all amounts and items to be expended for the ensuing budget year and the amounts appropriated for corresponding or other items during the current budget year and amounts expended for corresponding or other items during the preceding budget year. The budget for each fund shall not include any item for sundry or miscellaneous purposes in excess of 10% of the total budget. Except for school districts, municipal universities and community colleges, the budget for each fund may include a nonappropriated balance of not to exceed 5% of the total of each fund. The budget shall show in parallel columns the amount of revenue actually received from taxation and from other sources with the amount from each source separately stated for the preceding budget year and the amount actually received and estimated to be received from taxation and from sources other than direct taxation with the amount for each source separately stated for the current budget year and also the amount estimated to be received during the ensuing budget year, with the amount estimated to be received from each source separately stated. Except as provided by K.S.A. 79-2927a, and amendments thereto, the budget of expenditures for each fund shall balance with the budget of revenues for such fund, and that portion of the budget of revenues to be derived from ad valorem property taxation shall not exceed the amount of tax that can be

SB 338 4

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

2

raised by any fund limit or aggregate limit placed upon such fund.

Sec. 2. K.S.A. 79-2929 is hereby amended to read as follows: 79-2929. (a) Prior to the filing of the adopted budget under K.S.A. 79-2927(a) (1), and amendments thereto, with the county clerk, the governing body of each taxing or political subdivision or municipality shall meet for the purpose of answering and hearing objections of taxpayers relating to the proposed budget and for the purpose of considering amendments to such proposed budget. The governing body shall give at least 10 days' notice of the time and place of the meeting by publication in a weekly or daily newspaper of the county having a general circulation therein. Such notice shall include the proposed budget and shall set out all essential items in the budget except such groupings as designated by the director of accounts and reports on a special publication form prescribed by the director of accounts and reports and furnished with the regular budget form. The notice of a governing body of any taxing subdivision or municipality having an annual expenditure of \$500 or less shall specify the time and place of the meeting required by this section but shall not be required to include the proposed budget of such taxing subdivision or municipality.

(b) Prior to the filing of the notice of ad valorem tax to be levied under K.S.A. 79-2927(a)(2), and amendments thereto, the governing body of each taxing or political subdivision shall meet for the purpose of hearing objections of taxpayers relating to the proposed ad valorem tax levy. The governing body shall give at least 10 days' notice of the time and place of the meeting by publication in the official city newspaper if a city 25 or the official county newspaper for all other political or taxing 26 subdivisions. Such notice shall include the proposed ad valorem tax to be *levied.*(deleted because hearing now required by SB 294 New Sec. 1(b)(3))

New Sec. 3. Prior to the filing of the adopted budget under K.S.A. 79-2927(b), and amendments thereto, with the department of administration, the governing body of each taxing or political subdivision or municipality shall meet for the purpose of answering and hearing objections of taxpayers relating to the proposed budget and for the purpose of considering amendments to such proposed budget. The governing body shall give at least 10 days' notice of the time and place of the meeting by publication in a weekly or daily newspaper of the county having a general circulation. Such notice shall include the proposed budget and shall set out all essential items in the budget, except such groupings as designated by the director of accounts and reports, on a special publication form prescribed by the director of accounts and reports and furnished with the regular budget form. The notice of a governing body of any taxing subdivision or municipality having an annual expenditure of \$500 or less shall specify the time and place of the meeting required by this section but shall not be required to include the proposed budget of such taxing

subdivision or municipality. 1

Sec. 4. K.S.A. 79-2930 is hereby amended to read as follows: 79-2930. (a) Two copies of the budget certificate adopted under K.S.A. 79-

SB 338

18

19

2927(a)(1), and amendments thereto, giving the amount of ad valorem tax 5 to be levied and the total amount of the adopted budget of expenditures by 6 fund, along with itemized budget forms for each and every fund and proof 7 of publication of the notice of budget hearing containing the budget 8 summary or the certificate of ad valorem taxes to be levied as provided 9 under K.S.A. 79-2927(a)(2), and amendments thereto, shall be presented to 10 the county clerk within the time prescribed by K.S.A. 79-1801, and 11 amendments thereto. Beginning in 2009, All such budget information shall 12 be filed electronically with the county clerk. Where action has been taken 13 under any statute to increase the amount of tax to be levied authorized by 14 law, a statement showing the increased amount or tax levy rate voted, or a 15 copy of the charter resolution or ordinance making the change, shall be 16 attached to the budget each year the change is in effect. 17

- (b) The county clerk shall make any reductions to the ad valorem tax to be levied, compute the tax levy rates based on the final equalized assessed valuation, and enter such on the budget certificate or certificate of ad valorem tax to be levied before attesting
- the budget document, except that with regard to levies made under K.S.A. 75-2551,
- and amendments thereto, such levies shall be based upon the certified preliminary abstract of property values submitted to the director of property valuation pursuant to K.S.A. 79-1604, and amendments thereto. A copy of all budgets *or certificate of ad valorem tax to be* levied for taxing
- 24 copy of all budgets or certificate of ad valorem tax to be levied for taxing subdivisions of the county, properly attested,
- shall be filed with the director of accounts and reports, along with a copy of the tax levy rate summary required of the county treasurer by K.S.A. 79-2002, and amendments thereto. Beginning in 2009, all such budget information shall be filed electronically with the director of accounts and reports. *Any municipality that adopts a final budget pursuant to K.S.A.* 79-
- 2927(a)(2) shall submit the final budget directly to the director of accounts and reports.
- 30 (c) Each fund of the adopted budget certified to the county clerk in no 31 event shall exceed the amount of ad valorem tax to be levied and the 32 proposed expenditures of such fund in the proposed budget as originally 33 published. The governing body of each taxing subdivision shall not certify 34 an amount of ad valorem taxes to be levied that is in excess of any tax levy 35 rate or amount limitations or any aggregate tax levy limitations. The 36 governing bodies, in fixing the amount may take into consideration and 37 make allowance for the taxes which may not be paid, such allowance, 38 however, shall not exceed by more than 5% the percentage of delinquency 39 for the preceding tax year.
- New Sec. 5. If the governing body of any city or county intends to have an election pursuant to K.S.A. 79-2925c, and amendments thereto, the governing body must adopt a budget pursuant to K.S.A. 79-2927(a)(1), and amendments thereto.

SB 338 6

1 New Sec. 6. K.S.A. 79-2933. The hearing herein required to be held upon 2 all ad valorem taxes to be levied budgets by all taxing subdivisions or 3 municipalities of the state shall be held not less than five (5) days 4 prior to the date on which they shall certify their annual levies to the 5 county clerk as required by law. After such hearing the budget shall be 6 adopted or amended and adopted as amended, but no levy shall be made 7 until and unless a budget is prepared, published and filed, but no levy of taxes shall be invalidated because of any insufficiency, informality, or 8 9 delay in preparing, publishing and filing said budget. 10 New Sec. 7. K.S.A. 79-1801.(a) Except as provided by subsection (b). 11 eEach year the governing body of any city that adopts a budget pursuant 12 to K.S.A. 79-2927(a)(1), the trustees of any township, the board of 13 education of any school district and the governing bodies of all other 14 taxing subdivisions shall certify, on or before August 25, to the proper 15 county clerk the amount of ad valorem tax to be levied. Thereupon, the 16 county clerk shall place the tax upon the tax roll of the county, in the 17 manner prescribed by law, and the tax shall be collected by the county treasurer. The county treasurer shall distribute the proceeds of the taxes 18 levied by each taxing subdivision in the manner provided by K.S.A. 12-19 20 1678a, and amendments thereto. 21 (b) Prior to January 2021, iIf the governing body of a city or county must 22 conduct an election for an increase in property tax to fund any 23 appropriation or budget under K.S.A. 25-433a, and amendments thereto. 24 the governing body of the city or county shall certify, on or before 25 October 1, to the proper county clerk the amount of ad valorem tax to be 26 levied 27 (c) Each governing body of a city or county that adopts a budget 28 pursuant to K.S.A. 79-2927(a)(2) shall certify, on or before September 29 20, to the proper county clerk the amount of ad valorem tax to be levied. 30 Thereupon, the county clerk shall place the tax upon the tax roll of the 31 county, in the manner prescribed by law, and the tax shall be collected 32 by the county treasurer. The county treasurer shall distribute the 33 proceeds of the taxes levied by each taxing subdivision in the manner 34 provided by K.S.A. 12-1678a, and amendments thereto. Sec. 6.8 K.S.A. 79-2927, 79-2929 and 79-2930 are hereby repealed. 35 36 Sec. 7.9 This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its 37 publication in the statute book.