

SESSION OF 2020

**SUPPLEMENTAL NOTE ON HOUSE BILL NO. 2708**

As Recommended by House Committee on  
Corrections and Juvenile Justice

**Brief\***

HB 2708 would establish a certified drug treatment program (program) for certain persons who have entered into a diversion agreement (divertees) pursuant to a memorandum of understanding (MOU).

The bill would allow eligibility for participation in a program for offenders who have entered into a diversion agreement in lieu of further criminal proceedings on and after July 1, 2020, for persons who have been charged with felony possession of a controlled substance and whose criminal history score is C or lower with no prior felony drug convictions.

[*Note:* Under continuing law, Kansas' sentencing guidelines for drug crimes utilize a grid containing the crime severity level (1 to 5, 1 being the highest severity) and the offender's criminal history score (A to I, A being the highest criminal history score) to determine the presumptive sentence for an offense. Felony drug possession is currently classified as a drug severity level 5 felony. An offender is classified as criminal history C if the offender has one person and at least one nonperson felony.]

The bill would also provide that, as part of the consideration of whether to allow a person to enter into such a diversion agreement, a person who meets the criminal charge and history requirements shall be subject to:

- A drug abuse assessment that would be required to include a clinical interview with a mental health

---

\*Supplemental notes are prepared by the Legislative Research Department and do not express legislative intent. The supplemental note and fiscal note for this bill may be accessed on the Internet at <http://www.kslegislature.org>

professional and a recommendation concerning drug abuse treatment for the divertee; and

- A standardized criminal risk-need assessment specified by the Kansas Sentencing Commission (Commission).

The bill would further require the diversion agreement to include provisions that require the divertee to comply with and participate in a program if the divertee meets the assessment criteria set by the Commission, with a term of treatment not to exceed 18 months.

### ***Supervision***

The bill would provide that divertees who are committed to a program could be supervised by community correctional services or court services pursuant to a MOU. A divertee would be discharged from the program if the divertee:

- Is convicted of a new felony; or
- Has a pattern of intentional conduct that demonstrates the divertee's refusal to comply with or participate in the program, in the opinion of the county or district attorney.

If a divertee is discharged, such person would be subject to the revocation provisions of the respective diversion agreement.

### ***Definitions***

The bill would define "mental health professional" for this purpose to include:

- Licensed social workers;
- Persons licensed to practice medicine and surgery;
- Licensed psychologists;
- Licensed professional counselors; or

- Registered alcohol and other drug abuse counselors licensed or certified as addiction counselors who have been certified by the Secretary of Corrections (Secretary) to treat persons pursuant to continuing law.

The bill would define “divertee” to mean a person who has entered into a diversion agreement pursuant to continuing law and amendments made by the bill.

### ***MOU***

The bill would amend law related to diversion agreements by adding provisions related to an MOU.

The bill would allow a county or district attorney to enter into an MOU with the judicial administrator or community correctional services to assist with the supervision and monitoring of persons who have entered into a diversion agreement. The county or district attorney would retain authority over whether a particular defendant may enter into a diversion agreement or whether such agreement would be revoked.

The bill would require an MOU to include provisions related to:

- Determining the level of supervision needed for a defendant;
- Use of a criminal-risk needs assessment; and
- Payment of costs for supervision.

The bill would authorize the Kansas Supreme Court to adopt rules regarding the content of an MOU between a county or district attorney and the judicial administrator and the administration of a supervision program operating pursuant to such MOU.

The bill would amend law regarding the contents of diversion agreements to specify that such agreements may include provisions related to the MOU.

### ***Supervision Fees***

The bill would provide that divertees who are supervised pursuant to an MOU would be required to pay a supervision fee in the amount established in continuing law for misdemeanor or felony post-conviction supervision, as appropriate for the crime charged. The bill would allow a supervision officer to reduce or waive the supervision fee.

The bill would require the district court to collect supervision fees and the clerk of the district court to remit all diversion supervision fees to the State Treasurer. The State Treasurer would be required to deposit the entire amount in the State Treasury and credit the following amounts:

- 41.67 percent to the State General Fund; and
- 58.33 percent to the Correctional Supervision Fund.

The bill would also require divertees who are supervised pursuant to an MOU to pay the actual costs of urinalysis testing required as a term of supervision. Payments for such testing would be required to be remitted to the county treasurer for deposit in the county general fund, and the cost of such testing could be reduced or waived by the county or district attorney.

The bill would further require county or district attorneys to determine the extent, if any, that a divertee is able to pay for assessment and treatment and the bill would require such payments to be used by the supervising agency to offset costs to the State or county. If such financial obligations are not met or cannot be met, the county or district attorney would be required to be notified for the purpose of collection or review and further action on the diversion agreement.

### ***Conforming and Technical Changes***

The bill would make conforming amendments to statutes regarding community correctional services and certified drug abuse treatment programs to allow for implementation of the bill's provisions.

The bill would make technical amendments to ensure consistency in statutory phrasing and to remove outdated language related to a previously allowed supervision of certain adult offenders in Johnson County by court services or community corrections, which expired on July 1, 2013.

### **Background**

SB 123(2003) created a nonprison sanction of certified substance abuse treatment for certain drug offenders. Commonly referred to as the "Senate Bill 123 Program," this program is administered by the Kansas Sentencing Commission. HB 2708 would establish a similar treatment program for divertees.

The bill was introduced by the House Committee on Corrections and Juvenile Justice at the request of Representative Owens on behalf of the Kansas Criminal Justice Reform Commission.

In the House Committee hearing, representatives of the Kansas Criminal Justice Reform Commission and the Kansas Sentencing Commission testified in support of the bill. Proponents generally indicated the bill would expand the availability of drug abuse treatment options across the state for persons on diversion.

Written-only proponent testimony was provided by a representative of the Kansas County and District Attorneys Association and by a representative of the Kansas Association of Chiefs of Police, Kansas Peace Officers Association, and Kansas Sheriffs Association.

Written-only neutral testimony was provided by a representative of the Office of Judicial Administration (OJA).

Written-only opponent testimony was provided by a private citizen.

According to the fiscal note prepared by the Division of Budget on the bill, OJA indicates enactment of the bill could have a fiscal effect on the Judicial Branch operations to monitor the agreement process and for court services to supervise more offenders; however, a fiscal effect cannot be estimated. The bill could increase revenues from supervision fees to the Judicial Branch Correctional Supervision Fund and the State General Fund. OJA estimates the bill could also increase revenues from testing fees to county general funds.

The Commission estimates enactment of the bill would have no effect on prison admissions or prison beds; however, the Commission estimates, based on three different scenarios, the bill could increase the number of Senate Bill 123 Program offenders by either 50, 100, or 150 persons in FY 2021. Because of the potential increase of Senate Bill 123 Program drug treatment offenders, the Commission estimates additional State General Fund expenditures of \$157,150, \$314,300, or \$471,450 in FY 2021, depending on which scenario occurs. The Commission reports the average cost of treatment in the Senate Bill 123 Program was \$3,143 per offender in FY 2019. The Department of Corrections indicates it cannot estimate the number of divertees that may require community corrections supervision.

Any fiscal effect associated with enactment of the bill is not reflected in *The FY 2021 Governor's Budget Report*.