

February 11, 2022

SB 351

Opponent

Written Only

Sandra Duffy

913-206.9875

duffy.sandee@gmail.com

We The People of Wyandotte

First of all, I oppose this bill because it is stating that there should be electronic polling. I believe there should not be.

Second of all, to repeat this back: in order to appease the people of Kansas, you wrote legislation in the rest of the bill ensuring that everyone would know doing illegal things is illegal. Ummm... Okay....?

So, is it now more super illegal that the farmhouse that burned down several years ago and has random wild goats (is there such a thing as wild goats?) hopping about its ruins and has 20+ people registered to vote there... is it more super illegal for that to happen with the new legislation than it was when I went and tried to meet the non-voters who were registered there? For all of the legalese in the proposed bill, this situation is not addressed.

Is it more relevant for election judges to look for the internet connections in the future that hundreds of us personally screenshot on our phones when we voted in the past? I have a 2021 polling location book that states there should be no internet connection. And there was. Will it be more illegal now than it was then? What will prevent the continued illegality?

Is it more illegal now to make up random votes and drop them in the un-monitored advance voting boxes than it was previously?

Is it now more illegal to predetermine election outcomes than it was previously? Democrats AND republicans still have the screenshots of the prechosen winners of the primary from Wyandotte in 2021.

Is it now more illegal for Michael Abbott to tell the election workers to change the votes and outcome of the general election than it was previously? I found out today that there are hours of audio-video surveillance of illegal activity that took place in the elections office. (Some democrats worked together to ensure each other would get certain positions and they screwed over the absolute wrong person.) Soooo... Is it more illegal now than it was then?

This bill is literally one of the stupidest things I have ever read. (And to be clear: I read that Bill Clinton "did not have sexual relations with that woman," and that was pretty darn stupid.)

The language in the bill not only does NOT address the ongoing, specific, and very prevalent issues of election integrity, but it solidifies the madness, giving the Secretary of State all of the leg room necessary to harm the voters in Kansas. He will not be legitimately re-elected. Obviously. When democrats want to vote for a patriot, you know you messed something up.

It appears that the legislators, in order to give a pretense of serving the people, threw together a bunch of language which would support the masquerade of service whilst not giving us any actual protection or help in securing elections in the future.