

22rs2356

Joann Atchity

Shawnee KS

Re: 22rs2356 Position: Pro with caveats, Speaking in person

I am in favor of the legislature enforcing existing protections under law.

I will be speaking in person.

22rs2356

Madame Chair and committee members,

Section 1. (a) Notwithstanding any provision of law to the contrary, if an employer implements a COVID-19 vaccine requirement...

It is a matter of precedent upheld by the Supreme Court that no man or woman shall be forced or compelled to take ANY drug against their expressed informed consent.

I have listened to a great deal of testimony from the attorneys for the state explaining and defending the federal authority to "mandate" a drug or treatment. It has been embarrassing. Just as no authority has the power to compel someone to veil their face, they certainly do not have the authority to compel unwanted penetration of the body. In another context we would call that rape.

As you entered into your current offices, you each swore an oath to protect and defend the constitution. I call on this body to enforce constitutional protections already in place that recognize and honor our innate right to bodily autonomy and sovereignty.

Also from the bill:

Section 1.

(d) As used in this section:

(1) "COVID-19 vaccine" means an immunization, vaccination or injection against disease caused by the novel coronavirus identified as SARS-CoV-2 or disease caused by a variant of the virus.

Are you aware that the definition of "vaccine" was changed to include this shot? This is completely new technology that does not meet the previous definition of "vaccine". It is in fact

a gene editing therapy developed for cancer treatment. It is designed to deliver a “payload” into the cells. There is no informed consent in that regard.

In addition the “virus” SARS COV 2 has never been isolated from the secretions or tissues of an ill person. That being the case, what is the “payload” of this gene therapy delivering?

It is widely known that the so called vaccine was not developed “against” any “variants”. Therefore, I strongly disagree with that language.

We have innate, inalienable rights which are not being recognized or respected by the current federal executive branch. I am counting on the KS legislature to honor and enforce those protections.