

22rs2357

Joann Atchity

Shawnee KS

Re: 22rs2357 Pro with caveats, speaking in person

Madame Chair and committee members,

I am very much in favor of accountability. At this writing, a member of my family is in ICU in a hospital with a reaction to the "shot". It is not a vaccine according to any previously agreed upon definition so I will refer to it as the "shot". He was coerced by his employer. In order to maintain his employment, he was told he must be "vaccinated". Said employer for having "required" an experimental treatment which is still only available under emergency use authorization, has no liability for the injury he has suffered. The manufacturer has liability protections under both the 1986 vaccine act and the PREP act. So his injury is not their problem, either. So who is left holding the bag?

Back in 1986, vaccine manufacturers went to congress saying that vaccines are "unavoidably unsafe", so they must have liability protection.

Vaccine reactions called adverse events, including death are real and they are NOT rare. This is NOT a statistic for me, this is my family.

Where there is risk, there must be choice.