#### SESSION OF 2022

# CONFERENCE COMMITTEE REPORT BRIEF HOUSE BILL NO. 2377

As Agreed to March 30, 2022

#### **Brief\***

HB 2377 would create and amend law related to operating an aircraft under the influence, driving under the influence (DUI), diversions, and commercial driver's licenses.

## Operating an Aircraft Under the Influence

The bill would create the crime of operating an aircraft under the influence, provide for testing related to the crime, and repeal current statutes prohibiting the operation of aircraft under influence of alcohol or drugs and providing for related testing.

### Definition and Penalties

The bill would define "operating an aircraft under the influence" as operating or attempting to operate any aircraft within Kansas while:

- The alcohol concentration in the person's blood or breath, as shown by any competent evidence, including other competent evidence, is 0.04 or more;
- The alcohol concentration in the person's blood or breath, as measured within four hours of the time of operating or attempting to operate an aircraft, is 0.04 or more;
- Under the influence of alcohol to a degree that renders the person incapable of safely operating an aircraft;
- Under the influence of any drug or combination of drugs to a degree that renders the person incapable of safely operating an aircraft; or
- Under the influence of a combination of alcohol and any drug or drugs to a degree that renders the person incapable of safely operating an aircraft.

The offense would be a class A nonperson misdemeanor, unless it occurred while the person convicted is prohibited from operating an aircraft by a court order pursuant to the bill or because the person's pilot license is revoked or suspended by order of the Federal Aviation

<sup>\*</sup>Conference committee report briefs are prepared by the Legislative Research Department and do not express legislative intent. No summary is prepared when the report is an agreement to disagree. Conference committee report briefs may be accessed on the Internet at <a href="http://www.kslegislature.org/klrd">http://www.kslegislature.org/klrd</a>

Administration for a prior alcohol or drug-related conviction, in which case it would be a severity level 6 nonperson felony.

For misdemeanor offenses, the following provisions would apply:

- On a first conviction, the person convicted would be sentenced to no less than 48 consecutive hours nor more than 6 months' imprisonment or, in the court's discretion, 100 hours of public service, and fined not less than \$750; and
- On a second or subsequent conviction, the person convicted would be sentenced to no less than 90 days nor more than 1 year's imprisonment and fined not less than \$1,250, and the following conditions would apply:
  - As a condition of any probation granted, the person would be required to serve at least 120 hours of confinement, including at least 48 hours' imprisonment. The remainder could be served by a combination of imprisonment, work release (if the work release program requires the person to return to confinement at the end of each day), or a house arrest program;
  - The person would receive hour-for-hour credit for time served in work release or house arrest until the minimum 120 hours' confinement is met. If required to serve more than the minimum 120 hours' confinement, the person would receive day-for-day credit for time served once the minimum 120 hours' confinement is met, unless otherwise ordered by the court; and
  - When in work release, the person would only be given credit for time served in confinement at the end of and continuing to the beginning of the person's work day. When under house arrest, the person would be monitored by an electronic monitoring device verifying the person's location, and the person could only be given credit for the time served within the boundaries of the person's residence.

For felony offenses, the following provisions would apply:

- As a condition of any probation granted, the person would be required to serve at least 30 days of confinement, including at least 48 consecutive hours' imprisonment. The remainder could be served by a combination of imprisonment, work release (if the work release program requires the person to return to confinement at the end of each day), or a house arrest program;
- The person would receive hour-for-hour credit for time served in work release or house arrest for the first 240 hours of confinement so served, and would then receive day-for-day credit for time so served, unless otherwise ordered by the court; and
- When in work release, the person would only be given credit for time served in confinement at the end of and continuing to the beginning of the person's work day. When under house arrest, the person would be monitored by an electronic monitoring device verifying the person's location, and the person could only be given credit for the time served within the boundaries of the person's residence.

As part of the judgment of conviction, the court would be required to order the person convicted not to operate an aircraft for any purposes for six months from the date of final discharge from the county jail, or the date of payment or satisfaction of a fine, whichever is later, or one year from such date on a second conviction. If the court suspends the sentence and

places the person on probation, the court would be required to order as a condition of probation that the person not operate an aircraft for any purpose for a period of 30 days from the date of the order on a first conviction or 60 days from the date of the order on a second conviction.

In determining the number of occurrences of the offense, a conviction would include entering into a diversion agreement in lieu of further criminal proceedings on a complaint alleging commission of operating an aircraft under the influence, and it would be irrelevant whether an offense occurred before or after conviction or diversion for a previous offense.

If a person is charged with a violation of the offense involving drugs, the fact that the person is or has been entitled to use the drug under Kansas law would not constitute a defense against the charge.

## Related Testing Provisions

The bill would authorize a request to a person operating or attempting to operate an aircraft in Kansas to submit to one or more tests of the person's blood, breath, urine, or other bodily substance to determine the presence of alcohol or drugs, administered at the direction of a law enforcement officer. The procedural requirements and related provisions would reflect current law for such testing for the crimes being repealed by the bill or in the context of DUI offenses, except for certain oral and written notice requirements in the DUI procedure.

Similarly, the bill would include provisions allowing a law enforcement officer to request a person operating or attempting to operate an aircraft in Kansas to submit to a preliminary screening of the person's breath or oral fluid, or both, if the officer has reasonable suspicion to believe the person has been operating or attempting to operate an aircraft while under the influence of alcohol, drugs, or a combination of both. The procedural requirements and related provisions would reflect current law for such preliminary screenings in the DUI context.

The bill would add references to the testing provisions for this crime to continuing references to DUI testing provisions in the following statutory locations:

- In the Kansas Code of Criminal Procedure, regarding admissibility in any hearing or trial;
- In the Kansas Rules of Evidence, regarding the physician-patient privilege;
- In a statute allowing the Secretary of Health and Environment to adopt rules and regulations regarding approved preliminary screening devices; and
- In a statute authorizing the Director of the Kansas Bureau of Investigation to adopt rules and regulations regarding a list of preliminary screening devices approved for testing of oral fluid.

### DUI, Diversions, and Commercial Driver's Licenses

The bill would create and amend law related to DUI and driving a commercial motor vehicle under the influence (commercial DUI). The bill also would make additional amendments regarding diversions and commercial driver's licenses (CDLs).

## Ignition Interlock

The bill would create and amend law related to ignition interlock devices (IIDs), restrictions, and costs.

**Reinstatement of driver's license.** The bill would create law allowing a person whose license is restricted to operating only a vehicle with an IID and who meets the conditions detailed below to request reinstatement of the person's driver's license by submitting a request to the Division of Vehicles (Division) in a form and manner prescribed by the Division. The Division would be required to approve such request if all of the following conditions are met:

- The person's IID restriction period has been extended at least five years, not including any period of incarceration, beyond the initial IID period required by law due to the person's failure to provide the Division with proof of completion of the IID program;
- During the person's IID restriction period and any extension, the person has not had an alcohol or drug-related conviction or occurrence and has not been convicted of an IID circumvention offense in Kansas or any other jurisdiction;
- During the person's IID restriction period and any extension, the person has not been convicted of transportation of liquor in opened containers, purchase or consumption of alcohol by a minor, any offense listed in the statute defining a "habitual violator," or two or more moving traffic violations committed on separate occasions; and the person's driving privileges have not been revoked, suspended, canceled, or withdrawn due to another action by the Division or a court; and
- At the time of submitting the request, the person does not have any pending charges or proceedings involving any of the above violations.

**Transfer of ignition interlock oversight.** The bill would transfer oversight of state certification of IID manufacturers and service providers from the Kansas Department of Revenue (KDOR) to the Kansas Highway Patrol (KHP).

### The bill would transfer:

- Provisions regarding use of state moneys credited to the DUI-IID Designation Fund from a \$10 fee paid for a driver's license with ignition interlock designation) to fund administration and oversight of state-certified IID manufacturers and their service providers;
- Provisions regarding IID approval by the KHP; and
- Authority for adopting rules and regulations regarding approval of IIDs, calibration and maintenance of IIDs, ensuring each approved IID manufacturer provides a reasonable statewide service network for calibration and maintenance of the devices, and participant requirements for proper use and maintenance of IIDs to the superintendent of the KHP.

The bill would deem current rules and regulations on this subject to be rules and regulations of the superintendent of the KHP.

**Ignition interlock device program completion.** The bill would remove a 90-day waiting period to apply for IID and various restrictions on driving with an IID and add the following required conditions for a person to complete the IID program:

- The person must have no more than two standard violations and no serious violation in the 90 consecutive days prior to application for reinstatement; and
- The application must occur upon or after expiration of the applicable ignition interlock period required by law.

The bill would define "standard violation" (which includes various breath alcohol concentration test failures or failure to execute or submit to retests), "serious violation" (tampering or circumventing the IID or blowing a high breath alcohol concentration during a rolling retest), and other relevant terms.

Reduced ignition interlock device program costs. In a statute governing approval and maintenance of IIDs, the bill would remove a provision requiring each IID manufacturer to provide a credit of at least two percent of the gross program revenues in Kansas as a credit for persons qualified to obtain an IID who are indigent as evidenced by qualification and eligibility for the federal food stamp program, and would add the provisions detailed below regarding reduced IID program costs (reduced costs).

Any person whose license is restricted to operating only a motor vehicle with an IID installed could request reduced costs by submitting a request to the Division in a form and manner prescribed by the Division. The Division would be required to review each request to determine whether the person is eligible for reduced costs. A person would be eligible for reduced costs if the person's annual household income is less than or equal to 150 percent of the federal poverty level, as defined by the bill; if the person is eligible for the food assistance, child care subsidy, or cash assistance program pursuant to KSA 39-709; or if the person is currently eligible for the Low Income Energy Assistance Program (LIEAP) as determined by the Department for Children and Families (DCF).

If the Division determines the person is eligible for reduced costs, the person would be responsible for paying 50 percent of the program costs, and the manufacturer would be directed to adjust its charges accordingly.

The bill also would amend this section to require the Secretary of Revenue (Secretary) to adopt rules and regulations regarding the requirements and guidelines for receiving reduced costs prior to March 1, 2023. Additionally, the bill would reorganize some provisions within the section.

**DUI under the age of 21; IID restriction period.** The bill would amend the offense of DUI under the age of 21 to reduce the required IID restriction period for a first offense with a breath or blood alcohol test result of 0.02 or greater but less than 0.08 from 330 to 180 days.

Commercial DUI; Commercial Driver's Licenses

The bill would amend law regarding commercial DUI and CDLs.

Charges and penalties. The bill would clarify that continuing limits on plea bargains for commercial DUI shall not be construed to prohibit an amendment or dismissal of any charge where the admissible evidence is not sufficient to support a conviction beyond a reasonable doubt on such charge.

The bill would amend the penalties for a first conviction of commercial DUI to remove a minimum imprisonment or public service hours requirement.

The bill would amend the penalties for a second conviction of commercial DUI to reorganize and clarify minimum confinement requirements. A requirement that the offender serve at least 48 hours of imprisonment would be modified to remove requirements that this period be served consecutively and at the beginning of the overall period of confinement. The bill would specify that an offender would receive hour-for-hour credit in work release or house arrest for the minimum 120 hours of confinement required by the section and would then receive day-for-day credit for any additional confinement imposed, unless otherwise ordered by the court.

The bill would increase the penalty for a third or subsequent conviction of commercial DUI from a non-grid, nonperson felony to a severity level 6 nonperson felony, and would reorganize and standardize minimum confinement requirements, setting the required minimum confinement as 30 days. The bill would specify that an offender would receive hour-for-hour credit in work release or house arrest for the first 240 hours of confinement required by these provisions and would then receive day-for-day credit for subsequent confinement, unless otherwise ordered by the court. [Note: Assigning the offense a severity level places it on the sentencing guidelines grid and makes it subject to the incarceration periods and associated provisions of the sentencing guidelines.]

The bill would remove postrelease supervision provisions that could conflict with the general postrelease supervision conditions that would now apply to the offense as a severity level 6 nonperson felony.

The bill would give a court discretion to waive any portion of a fine imposed for commercial DUI, except for \$250 required to be remitted to the State Treasurer and credited to the Community Correction Supervision Fund upon a showing the offender successfully completed court-ordered education or treatment.

Lifetime disqualification and other CDL amendments. The bill would amend the statute governing disqualification from driving a commercial motor vehicle to specify that a continuing provision for lifetime disqualification upon a second or subsequent occurrence of certain specific offenses, test refusals, or test failures (second offense lifetime disqualification) applies to occurrences arising from two or more separate incidents occurring on or after July 1, 2003. If a person is disqualified for life under this provision, and at least one of the disqualifying incidents occurred prior to July 1, 2003, the bill would allow the person to apply to the Secretary for review of the incidents and modification of the disqualification. The bill would require the Secretary to adopt rules and regulations prior to March 1, 2023, establishing guidelines, including conditions, to administer this provision.

The bill would replace a provision allowing the Secretary to adopt rules and regulations establishing guidelines under which a second offense lifetime disqualification may be reduced to a period of not less than ten years with a provision requiring any person with a second offense lifetime disqualification who seeks to have commercial driving privileges restored after ten years

of disqualification to apply in writing to the Division. The bill would require the Division to restore the person's commercial driving privileges if the Division determines:

- None of the occurrences leading to lifetime disqualification included DUI or commercial DUI;
- The person has not had an occurrence of certain specific offenses, test refusal, or test failure during the 10-year period preceding application;
- The person has had no alcohol- or drug-related convictions during the 10-year period preceding the application;
- The person has no pending alcohol- or drug-related criminal charges;
- The person has had no convictions for violations that occurred while operating a commercial motor vehicle during the 10-year period preceding application;
- The person has successfully completed an alcohol or drug treatment or comparable program that meets or exceeds the minimum standards approved by the Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services if any of the disqualifying offenses were drug or alcohol related;
- The person is no longer a threat to the public safety of Kansas. The Division could request, and the person would be required to provide, any additional information or documentation the Division deems necessary to determine the person's fitness for relicensure;
- The person is otherwise eligible for licensure; and
- The person has not previously been restored to commercial motor vehicle privileges following a prior 10-year minimum disqualification.

The bill would require the person to provide a copy of the person's closed criminal history from any jurisdiction to the Division, for purposes of verifying the prior 10-year alcohol and drug history.

If the Division finds the person is eligible for restoration to commercial driving status, the bill would require the person to complete the written and driving skills examinations before a CDL is issued.

If the person is found ineligible, the Division would be required to notify the person of such findings by certified mail and continue the denial of commercial driving privilege until such ineligibility has been disproven to the Division's satisfaction.

Any person who previously had commercial motor vehicle privileges restored pursuant to the statute would not be eligible to apply for restoration if the person receives another lifetime disqualification.

Any person aggrieved by the decision of the Division could appeal for review in accordance with the Kansas Judicial Review Act.

The bill would require the Secretary to adopt rules and regulations prior to March 1, 2023, necessary to administer the new procedure for restoration of commercial driving privileges.

The bill would add a separate lifetime disqualification provision for any person who uses a commercial motor vehicle in the commission of a felony involving an act or practice of severe forms of trafficking in persons, as defined in the provision.

The bill would increase the minimum disqualification period for operating a commercial motor vehicle in violation of an out-of-service order:

- From 90 days to 180 days for a first violation; and
- From one year to two years if the person has one prior violation in a separate incident within the ten years immediately preceding the current violation.

## Motorized Bicycles

In the statute requiring drivers to have a valid driver's license, the bill would remove a provision allowing the Division to issue a class C license valid only for the operation of motorized bicycles to persons who have had their driving privileges suspended for an offense other than commercial DUI or a second or subsequent DUI, complete a mandatory suspension period for DUI-related offenses, and submit an application and \$40 nonrefundable application fee. The bill would remove a corresponding provision regarding the disposition of the application fee.

The bill would specify the continuing penalty of a class B misdemeanor for violations of the section is a nonperson misdemeanor.

## **DUI Charges and Penalties**

The bill would clarify that continuing limits on plea bargains for DUI shall not be construed to prohibit an amendment or dismissal of any charge where the admissible evidence is not sufficient to support a conviction beyond a reasonable doubt on such charge.

The bill would amend the penalties for a first conviction of DUI to remove a minimum imprisonment or public service hours requirement.

The bill would amend the penalties for a second conviction of DUI to reorganize and clarify minimum confinement requirements. A requirement that the offender serve at least 48 hours of imprisonment would be modified to remove requirements that this period be served consecutively and at the beginning of the overall period of confinement. The bill would specify that an offender would receive hour-for-hour credit in work release or house arrest for the minimum 120 hours of confinement required by the section and would then receive day-for-day credit for any additional confinement, unless otherwise ordered by the court.

The bill would increase the penalty for a third conviction if the person has a prior conviction within the preceding 10 years (not including any period of incarceration) or a fourth or subsequent conviction of DUI from a non-grid, nonperson felony to a severity level 6 nonperson felony, and would accordingly remove specific imprisonment and fine requirements. [Note:

Assigning the offense a severity level places it on the sentencing guidelines grid and makes it subject to the incarceration periods and associated provisions of the sentencing guidelines.] The bill also would amend provisions regarding imprisonment at a state facility for the felony offense and related responsibilities of a sheriff to reflect the amendment making the penalty a sentencing guidelines offense, rather than a non-grid felony. Additionally, the bill would remove the felony offense from postrelease supervision provisions that could conflict with the general postrelease supervision conditions that would now apply to the offense as a felony on the sentencing grid.

The bill would also amend the penalties for a third conviction of DUI, a third conviction of DUI with a prior conviction within the preceding 10 years, and a fourth or subsequent conviction to reorganize and standardize minimum confinement requirements, setting the required minimum confinement as 30 days. The bill would specify that an offender would receive hourfor-hour credit in work release or house arrest for the first 240 hours of confinement required by these provisions and would then receive day-for-day credit for subsequent confinement, unless otherwise ordered by the court.

The bill would give a court discretion to waive any portion of a fine imposed for DUI, except for \$250 required to be remitted to the State Treasurer and credited to the Community Correction Supervision Fund upon a showing the offender successfully completed court-ordered education or treatment.

### Diversion

The bill would amend statutes governing consideration of diversion by city attorneys and county or district attorneys to specify a diversion agreement shall not be entered into on a complaint or traffic citation alleging a violation of the statutes governing automobiles and other vehicles (or of ordinances prohibiting the same acts), if the defendant was a commercial driver's license holder at the time of the violation or at any subsequent time prior to being considered for diversion. [Note: This reflects a similar provision currently in the Kansas Uniform Commercial Driver's License Act.]

These statutes also would be amended to allow diversion for an alleged alcohol related offense involving a motor vehicle accident or collision that resulted in personal injury only to the defendant.

The city attorney diversion statute would be amended to clarify an "alcohol related offense," as used in this statute, is a non-commercial DUI offense.

A statute prohibiting certain diversions for CDL holders would be amended to prohibit a prosecuting attorney from masking or deferring imposition of judgment or allowing an individual to enter into a diversion that would prevent a commercial learner's permit or CDL holder's conviction from appearing on the Commercial Driver's License Information System (CDLIS) driver record of any violation of a state or local traffic control law that occurred in any type of motor vehicle, and this provision would apply regardless of whether the driver was convicted for an offense committed in the state where the driver is licensed or in any other state. The bill would state this provision would not apply to parking, vehicle weight, or vehicle defect violations.

## Sentencing Statute Amendments

The bill would amend sentencing statutes in the Kansas Criminal Code to reflect the sentencing changes made in the DUI statutes.

#### Technical Amendments

The bill would make technical amendments throughout to ensure consistency in statutory references and phrasing.

#### **Conference Committee Action**

The Conference Committee agreed to the Senate version of HB 2377, regarding DUI, diversions, and CDLs, amended to require that a person must have no more than two, rather than one, standard ignition interlock violations and no serious violation in the 90 consecutive days prior to application for reinstatement. The Conference Committee further agreed to add the contents of the Senate version of HB 2387, regarding operating an aircraft under the influence.

## **Background**

### HB 2377 (DUI, Diversions, and CDLs)

As introduced, HB 2377 contained provisions creating and amending law relating to DUI and commercial DUI. The Senate Committee on Judiciary added provisions from SB 146, regarding oversight of IID manufacturers, and SB 533, regarding CDL disqualification periods and concealment of traffic violations.

### HB 2377

HB 2377 was introduced by the House Committee on Judiciary at the request of Representative Ralph.

**House Committee on Judiciary.** In the House Committee hearing on February 16, 2021, representatives of the Kansas Judicial Council testified as **proponents** of the bill, stating it contained recommendations from the study by the Judicial Council's DUI Advisory Committee, originally requested by Representative Finch in May 2018. A representative of the Coalition of Ignition Interlock Manufacturers also testified as a proponent, with suggested amendments regarding the IID program sliding cost scale.

A representative of the Kansas Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers provided writtenonly neutral testimony.

No other testimony was provided.

On February 24, 2021, the House Committee amended the bill to add a deadline date of March 1, 2022, for adoption of the rules and regulations required by the bill. [*Note*: The Senate Committee updated this deadline to March 1, 2023.]

**Senate Committee on Judiciary.** In the Senate Committee hearing on March 24, 2021, the same **proponents** testified as in the House Committee hearing. A representative of the Kansas Department of Transportation (KDOT) testified as an **opponent**, requesting an amendment regarding minimum sentences required by federal regulations. No other testimony was provided.

On March 29, 2021, the Senate Committee amended the bill to:

- Adjust the IID program sliding cost scale (based upon the suggestion of the Coalition of Ignition Interlock Manufacturers);
- Reduce the number of standard violations allowed for completion of the IID program from three to one [Note: The Conference Committee agreed to change this to two.];
- Add language regarding required conditions and minimum sentences for the on-grid felonies (based on the the suggestion of KDOT); and
- Amend sentencing statutes to reflect the sentencing changes made in the DUI statutes.

On February 18, 2022, the bill was rereferred to the Senate Committee on Judiciary.

On March 18, 2022, the Senate Committee received a bill brief from staff of the Office of the Revisor of Statutes. Committee staff also provided the Senate Committee with an updated fiscal note, updated fiscal information from the Kansas Department of Revenue (KDOR), and a letter from the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration addressing Kansas' compliance with a final rule regarding lifetime disgualification for human trafficking.

The Senate Committee then further amended the bill to:

- Update dates, deadlines, and statutory language amended by other legislation due to the carryover from the 2021 Legislative Session;
- Remove sentencing language that would conflict with sentencing grid provisions that would now apply to the offenses assigned severity levels by the bill;
- Add the provisions of SB 146, as amended by Senate Committee of the Whole, moving oversight of state certification of IID manufacturers and their services providers to the KHP; and
- Add the provisions of SB 533, increasing the CDL disqualification period for certain offenses and prohibiting prosecutors from concealing certain traffic violations.

[Note: Except as noted above, all Senate Committee amendments were retained by the Conference Committee.]

SB 146 (Oversight of Ignition Interlock Manufacturers)

SB 146 was introduced by the Senate Committee on Transportation at the request of a representative of the Division.

**Senate Committee on Transportation.** In the Senate Committee hearing in 2021, representatives of the Division and the Coalition of Ignition Interlock Manufacturers provided **proponent** testimony, and the superintendent of the KHP provided written-only proponent testimony. The proponents stated the bill would codify current practices accomplished through a memorandum of understanding and stated the system has worked well to ensure the devices are installed properly and all services are being provided correctly. They stated enactment of the bill would not change the Division's role in handling driver status and restriction periods. No other testimony was provided.

The Senate Committee recommended the bill be placed on the Consent Calendar.

**Senate Committee of the Whole.** The Senate Committee of the Whole amended the bill to update the date of rules and regulations that would be deemed to be those of the KHP and to update statutory references. [*Note*: This amendment was retained by the Conference Committee.]

SB 533 (CDL Disqualification Periods; Concealment of Traffic Violations)

SB 533 was introduced by the Senate Committee on Federal and State Affairs at the request of Senator Olson.

**Senate Committee on Judiciary.** In the Senate Committee hearing on March 18, 2022, a representative of KDOR provided **proponent** testimony, stating the bill would improve Kansas' conformance with federal standards associated with CDL issuance. No other testimony was provided.

### HB 2387 (Operating an Aircraft Under the Influence)

The bill was introduced by the House Committee on Judiciary at the request of Representative Ralph.

### House Committee on Judiciary

In the House Committee hearing on February 16, 2021, a representative of the Judicial Council's DUI Advisory Committee testified as a **proponent** of the bill, stating it was based on a recommendation from the Committee's study, which was originally requested in 2018 by Representative Finch, as then-chairperson of the House Judiciary Committee. The conferee stated Kansas' current statutes governing operating an aircraft under the influence of drugs or alcohol were enacted in 1981 and have not been updated, and the bill would provide consistency for law enforcement officers and protect constitutional rights by making the process and penalties for this crime closely resemble the DUI process and penalties.

A representative of the Kansas Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers provided writtenonly neutral testimony.

A representative of the Kansas Agricultural Aviation Association (KAAA) provided writtenonly opponent testimony. [*Note*: The House Committee chairperson indicated during House Committee action on the bill that the KAAA representative has communicated that his organization no longer opposes the bill.]

On February 24, 2021, the House Committee amended the bill to clarify the application of the felony provision, remove certain conditions of sentencing for the felony provision, and add testing provisions and references to further align the provisions of the bill with continuing DUI provisions. [Note: The Senate Committee restored conditions of sentencing for the felony provision similar to those removed by the House Committee.]

### Senate Committee on Judiciary

In the Senate Committee hearing on March 24, 2021, the same **proponent** testified as before the House Committee. No neutral or opponent testimony was provided.

On January 18, 2022, the Senate Committee amended the bill by:

- Restoring conditions of sentencing for the felony provisions similar to those removed by the House Committee; and
- Making technical updates to ensure consistency in statutory references and wording.

[Note: These amendments were retained by the Conference Committee.]

### **Fiscal Information**

### HB 2377

[*Note*: The latest fiscal note for HB 2377, summarized below, addresses the 2021 Senate Committee amendments but not the 2022 Senate Committee amendments.]

According to the fiscal note issued by the Division of the Budget on HB 2377, following 2021 Senate Committee action, the Kansas Sentencing Commission (Commission) estimates enactment of the bill would result in an increase of 193 adult prison beds needed by the end of FY 2023 and an increase of 345 beds needed by the end of FY 2032.

The Department of Corrections (KDOC) indicates an increase in prison population would increase expenditures at a rate of \$49.53 per day per inmate, for a total of \$3,489,141 from the State General Fund in FY 2023 if the population increased by 193.

The Office of Judicial Administration (OJA) indicates enactment of the bill would have a minimal fiscal effect that could be handled within existing resources.

KDOT indicates enactment of the bill would not affect current federal transportation obligations and would require all DUI offenders to receive an IID, which would make the State eligible for an estimated \$250,000 in funding from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration to support behavioral traffic safety programs beginning in FY 2023.

KDOR indicates it would require a total of \$9,979 from the State General Fund in FY 2023 to implement the bill and to modify the Driver Solutions IT system. If the combined effect of implementing this bill and other enacted legislation exceeds KDOR's resources, additional expenditures for outside contract programmer services may be required. KDOR also indicates enactment of the bill would reduce revenues by eliminating a \$40 application fee, which generated \$3,480 in calendar year 2020 to the Division of Vehicles Operating Fund.

Any fiscal effect associated with enactment of the bill is not reflected in *The FY 2023 Governor's Budget Report*.

#### SB 146

According to the fiscal note prepared by the Division of the Budget in 2021, KDOR and the KHP indicate enactment of SB 146 would have no fiscal effect on statewide expenditures because the KHP already incurs the expenditures associated with administering the DUI-IID Program. The KHP notes reimbursements from KDOR totaled \$68,923 in FY 2020.

### SB 533

According to the fiscal note prepared by the Division of the Budget on SB 533, KDOR indicates the enactment of SB 533 would have no fiscal effect on revenues or expenditures. OJA indicates any fiscal effect on the Judicial Branch from the enactment of the bill would be negligible. The Kansas Association of Counties (KAC) indicates enactment of SB 533 could increase expenditures for counties from prosecuting and negotiating plea deal options for these cases. KAC indicates enactment of the bill could also increase revenue to counties from fees collected for these offenses; however, a fiscal effect cannot be determined. Any fiscal effect associated with the enactment of SB 533 is not reflected in *The FY 2023 Governor's Budget Report*.

#### HB 2387

According to the February 23, 2021, revised fiscal note prepared by the Division of the Budget on HB 2387, as introduced, the Kansas Sentencing Commission estimates enactment of the bill may have an impact on prison admissions and bed space, but the effect cannot be determined at this time. The current estimated available bed capacity is 9,420 for males and 948 for females. Based upon the Commission's most recent ten-year prison population projection, it is estimated the year-end population for available male capacity will be under capacity by 1,287 inmates in FY 2021 and 1,241 inmates in FY 2022.

KDOC indicates enactment of the bill would have a minimal fiscal effect on probation or facility caseloads, which could be absorbed within existing resources.

OJA indicates enactment of the bill could result in additional cases being filed and could affect the complexity of the cases, resulting in additional time spent by judges and court staff. The bill also could result in the collection of additional docket fees, fines, and other costs, but a fiscal effect cannot be determined because the number of additional cases cannot be estimated.

Any fiscal effect associated with enactment of the bill is not reflected in *The FY 2022 Governor's Budget Report*.

Crimes; operating an aircraft under the influence; driving under the influence; penalties; testing; driving restrictions; Kansas Highway Patrol; commercial driver's licenses; disqualification; prosecutors; prohibited masking of violations; Division of Vehicles

ccrb\_hb2377\_01\_0000.odt