

R.E. "Tuck" Duncan,  
Attorney at Law, LLC.  
212 SW 8<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 202  
Topeka, Kansas 66603  
785.233.2265 FAX 785.233.5659

February 20, 2013

To: Senate Committee on Federal & State Affairs

From: R.E. "Tuck" Duncan, Special Counsel, KLBA

RE: SB203, Club & Drinking Establishment Act.

I am appearing today as Special Counsel for the Kansas Licensed Beverage Association, to address two matters that might be worthy of amendment into SB208. First a brief background, much of my law practice relates to the licensing of and representation of on-premise licensees, including national hotel and restaurant chains. I have had represented such licensees in various administrative proceedings before the Kansas Alcoholic Beverage Control.

Over the years the KLBA has expressed concern about the "unknowingly" standard found in K.S.A. 41-2615. They have asked that it be removed and the Legislature has deferred.

**41-2615. Possession or consumption by minor prohibited.** (a) No licensee or permit holder, or any owner, officer or employee thereof, shall knowingly or unknowingly permit the possession or consumption of alcoholic liquor or cereal malt beverage by a minor on premises where alcoholic beverages are sold by such licensee or permit holder, except that a licensee's or permit holder's employee who is not less than 18 years of age may serve alcoholic liquor or cereal malt beverage under the on-premises supervision of the licensee or permit holder, or an employee who is 21 years of age or older.

(b) Violation of this section is a misdemeanor punishable by a fine of not less than \$100 and not more than \$250 or imprisonment not exceeding 30 days, or both.

(c) It shall be a defense to a prosecution under this section if: (1) The defendant permitted the minor to possess or consume the alcoholic liquor or cereal malt beverage with reasonable cause to believe that the minor was 21 or more years of age; and (2) to possess or consume the alcoholic liquor or cereal malt beverage, the minor exhibited to the defendant a driver's license, Kansas nondriver's identification card or other official or apparently official document that reasonably appears to contain a photograph of the minor and purporting to establish that such minor was 21 or more years of age. **History:** L. 1965, ch. 316, §15; L. 1987, ch. 182, § 70; L. 1993, ch. 173, § 3; L. 1994, ch. 300, § 2; L. 2008, ch. 126, § 9; July 1.

However, in discussing this matter recently, it became clear to me that removal is not required, as we know the Kansas ABC would object. Rather, the Legislature should codify the Kansas Supreme Court's application of the statute as found in *In State v. J.C. Sports Bar, Inc.*, 253 Kan. 815 (Kan. 1993).

In that decision written by Chief Justice Holmes, the court reviewed this statute and stated:

***“Bar and its owner could not be found guilty of violating statute prohibiting “knowingly or unknowingly” permitting consumption of alcohol by minor where there was no evidence that owner or any employee or agent of bar sold or gave minor beer which minor drank ... and where there was no evidence that owner or any employee of bar even knew that minor had taken a drink of someone else’s beer.”***

You need understand that albeit the Kansas A.B.C.'s administrative hearings are not required to meet a “beyond reasonable doubt “ standard, The Club and Drinking Establishment Act and rules and regulations promulgated thereunder constitute a criminal statute.

**41-2633. Violations of act or rules and regulations; criminal penalty.** Violation of any provision of the club and drinking establishment act, and amendments thereto, or any rule or regulation adopted thereunder, for which a penalty is not otherwise specifically provided is punishable by a fine not to exceed \$500 or imprisonment not to exceed six months, or both.

Basically, the Kansas Alcoholic Beverage Control has taken the position that there is strict liability. That is, if a 21 year old buys the drink, gives it to his/her 19 year old acquaintance who drinks same, and even though management has no knowledge of same, the licensee is guilty of violating K.S.A. 41-2633. The same standard is applied as though one drives through a stop sign.

Chief Justice Holmes found that because under the statute “[V]iolators are subject to a fine or imprisonment or both. As a result. the statute must be considered from the stricter standards applied to criminal statutes rather than the more liberal standards applied to non-criminal statutes.”

So how do we resolve this problem, and maintain the “unknowingly” standard to ensure that licensees take their responsibly seriously? Well, here is our solution, codify the evidentiary requirements.

Amend K.S.A. 41-2516 to provide as follows, consistent with Chief Justice Holmes rule:

**A licensee may not be found guilty of violating this statute prohibiting “knowingly or unknowingly” permitting consumption of alcoholic liquor or cereal malt beverage by minor, whether in an administrative or criminal proceeding, where there is no evidence that owner or any employee or agent of licensee sold or gave the minor the alcoholic liquor or cereal malt beverage which the minor possessed or consumed and where there is no evidence that owner or any employee of bar even knew that minor had taken a drink of the alcoholic liquor or cereal malt beverage.”**

Such an evidentiary rule will still require and encourage the licensee to “police” their patrons, but will not impose an impossible standard as currently interpreted by the agency. Even when there is strict liability for running a stop sign, the driver knew there was a stop sign.

Additionally, we would like to propose consideration of the Alaska statute which further deters underage efforts to purchase beverage alcoholic and makes the licensee a partner with the state in enforcing the prohibition of minors possessing and consuming.

Alaska Statue Sec. 04.16.065. provides for: Civil penalty for violations of AS 04.16.060\*. as follows:

(a) A person who has attained 18 years of age, or an emancipated minor, who violates AS 04.16.060 is, in addition to any criminal penalty provided by law, liable in a civil action to the licensee for a penalty of \$1,500 plus costs and reasonable attorney fees.

(b) A person, having legal custody of an unemancipated minor who solicits another person to violate AS 04.16.060, is liable in a civil action for a penalty of \$1,000, plus costs and reasonable attorney fees, to the licensee from whom the other person purchased, ordered, or received an alcoholic beverage in violation of AS 04.16.060.

(c) It is a condition precedent to maintaining an action under this section that the owner or seller of the merchandise send by first class mail to the defendant at the defendant's last known address 15 days or more before the action is commenced a notice demanding the relief authorized. The board may adopt regulations prescribing the form of this notice. It is not a condition precedent to maintaining an action under this section that the person who violated AS 04.16.060 or solicited a violation was charged or convicted under any statute or ordinance.

(d) In this section, "emancipated minor" means a minor whose disabilities have been removed for general purposes under AS 09.55.590.

This law is known as the “Brown Jug law”. Several years ago a para-legal, who also happened to be vice-president of the Brown Jug liquor store in Anchorage, initiated a new Fake ID law for Alaska. He promoted the notion that the market for fake IDs could be better regulated, based on the following logic: When a minor uses a false identification or misuses a valid ID to attempt to circumvent the law, a crime has been committed, and that's the case in almost every jurisdiction. But too often the business that has been hoodwinked gets charged with the crime when it is in reality the business that is the victim. In Alaska, they take a different tack: a business that is the victim or attempted victim of such fraud can confiscate the ID, then sue the perpetrator for \$1,000 in civil damages.

*Licensees that are part of the solution are no longer part of the problem.*

Thank you for your attention to and consideration of these matters.

---

\*for reference :

ALASKA Sec. 04.16.060. (in part provides) Purchase by or delivery to persons under the age of 21.

(a) A person under the age of 21 years may not purchase alcoholic beverages or solicit another to purchase alcoholic beverages for the person under the age of 21.

(b) A person may not influence the sale, gift, or service of an alcoholic beverage to a person under the age of 21 years, by misrepresenting the age of that person.

(c) A person may not order or receive an alcoholic beverage from a licensee, an agent or employee of the licensee, or another person, for the purpose of selling, giving, or serving it to a person under the age of 21 years.

